Queensland Journal of Agricultural and Animal Sciences Vol. 44 (1), 69-73 (1987) Published by the Queensland Department of Primary Industries

Food of narrow barred Spanish mackerel in north Queensland waters, and their relevance to the commercial troll fishery

G. R. McPherson

Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Northern Fisheries Research Centre, c/- Bungalow Post Office, Cairns, Q. 4870, Australia.

Abstract

The stomach contents of adult and juvenile narrow barred Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus* (Lacepède)) from north Queensland waters were examined. Food items identified from commercially caught adult *S. commerson* showed that open water pelagic fish formed 66% of the diet with squid and penaeid prawns contributing 18%.

The major food groups are rarely utilised as bait in the north Queensland troll fishery. They are now seen as appropriate additional, or alternative baits to the hemiramphids (garfishes) traditionally used.

INTRODUCTION

The narrow barred Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus commerson* (Lacepède)) is Queensland's major offshore fin fishery. A total of 800 tonnes were landed in 1980–81 (Anon. 1982) with a major proportion produced by the north Queensland commercial fishery. No estimates are available for the landings of amateur fishermen. It is considered that the amateur contribution to the fishery is substantial and would significantly increase the overall landing figures, particularly from southern Queensland where amateur fishing predominates (McPherson 1985).

The north Queensland fishery is based almost entirely on catches made by trolling using mainly garfish (Hemiramphidae) as bait. Commercial fishermen have traditionally used heavy multistrand bowden cable (1.14 mm diameter) or monofilament hand lines while amateur fishermen have tended to use light sportfishing tackle (McPherson 1985). In recent years commercial fishermen have been using rod and reel equipment as an integral part of their fishing operations. The information carried in popular angling magazines over recent years has led to a vast improvement in the trolling techniques of commercial and amateur fishermen, including bait and lure preparation. In addition, drift fishing with live or dead bait during the day or night has become a more widespread practice.

The objective of this study was to identify the prey species eaten by S. commerson, to assess the importance of pelagic fish species to the diet of S. commerson, and to compare these prey species with traditionally used baits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

McPherson (1981) indicated that S. commerson less than 40 cm LCF (length from snout to caudal fork) are more commonly encountered from shallow inshore north Queensland waters with larger fish being more commonly encountered in Great Barrier Reef waters.

McPherson

In this study juvenile fish less than 50 cm LCF were collected from inshore comercial trawls and amateur and commercial bait net catches in the Cairns area. Stomachs were preserved in 10% formalin.

Stomach contents of *S. commerson* greater than 50 cm LCF were examined on commercial troll fishing vessels operating in northern Great Barrier Reef waters. Stomachs with readily identifable contents were examined at sea while those stomachs with uncertain contents were preserved in 10% formalin for later study. Whenever bait fragments or remnants of recently processed fish were present, they were excluded from analysis.

Examination of juvenile and adult *S. commerson* stomach contents from commercial fishing vessels was complicated by the problem of partial digestion of prey items prior to processing which often made prey identification either difficult or impossible. The removal of skin and outer muscle layers within a short period of time by digestive enzymes made identification more relient on hard structures such as gill rakers, tail scutes, scales, caudal vertebrae and chitinous exoskeletons.

Samples from inshore and reef locations were taken from June to December in 1977 and 1978 and observations of stomach contents from *S. commerson* greater than 50 cm LCF continued until 1980. The restricted time span precluded any study of seasonal abundance or availability of prey items.

RESULTS

Stomach contents of juvenile S. commerson (<50 cm LCF)

Most juveniles examined for stomach contents were captured by amateur bait net or inshore otter trawls in depths from 1 to 10 m. Two fish of 42 and 45 cm LCF were captured by commercial handline in reef waters. Of 59 stomachs examined 31 contained prey fish items (Table 1).

Size LCF (cm)	No. of stomachs examined	No. of stomachs with food items	Items	No. of individual items	No. of items/ family group
0-9.9	12	8	Fish-unidentified	8	8
100-49.9	47	31	Fish-unidentified	14	14
			Clupeidae unidentified Sardinella sp.	1 10	11
	- - #* 		Carangidae unidentified Decapterus sp. Megalaspis cordyla Selar sp.	2 1 1	5
			Leiognathidae unidentified Equulities bindus	2	3
			Hemiramphidae Hyporhamphus sp.	2	2
			Theraponidae Therapon theraps	1	- 1
			Pomacentridae unidentified *	1	1
	59	39	Total prey items		45

Table 1. Food items of juvenile S. commerson (LCF<50 cm)

* From a reef captured fish.

The food items of juveniles in the 0 to 9.9 cm LCF size range were difficult to identify due to problems of partial digestion. The food items of juveniles in the 10 to 49.9 cm LCF size range were pelagic fish families such as Carangidae, Clupeidae, Hemiramphidae and the semi-pelagic Leiognathidae. Most of the identifiable fish were generally present as fragments only, making estimation of prey size difficult.

Juvenile S. commerson individuals have been observed by the author feeding on schools of clupeids in very shallow (less than 5 m) inshore waters off Cairns.

Stomach contents of large S. commerson (>50 cm LCF)

Regurgitation of stomach contents during fishing operations was commonly observed. This possibly explains why a major proportion (63.9%) of the 1051 stomachs examined of fish from this size range were empty (Table 2). Fish remains were recorded in 32.9% of stomachs with mollusc (cephalopod) and crustacean remains in 3.1% (Table 2).

Table 2.	Stomach	content	groups f	or <i>S</i> .	commerson	(LCF>50	cm)

Stomach contents	No. of stomachs sampled	Percentage of occurrence
Fish-identified -unidentified	346	32.9
Molluscs	20	1.9
Crustaceans	13	1.2
Empty	672	63.9
Total examined	1051	100

Of the 379 stomachs that contained food items (Table 3) unidentified fish remains were present in 51.5%. Clupeid fish of the genus *Amblygaster* (sardinellas, pilchards) were the most common prey items being found in 20.5% of the stomachs containing food. As the second major prey group, 8.4% of stomachs contained carangids, mainly *Decapterus*, *Selar* and *Megalaspis* spp. Caesionids (Caesio spp., i.e. bananafish, fusiliers) formed the third most important prey group occuring in 6.9% of stomachs that contained food.

Squid was the most abundant invertebrate (in 5.3% of stomachs) while penaeid prawns were found in 1.9% of stomachs.

Other prey items of *S. commerson* commonly reported by commercial fishermen or observed by me since sampling ceased in 1980 have included fish such as hairtails (Trichiuridae), juvenile Spanish mackerel (Scombridae), toadfish (Tetraodontidae), and flying fish (Exocoetidae), In addition, the species readily feeds on discarded trash fish from prawn trawling activities or the fish remnants discarded by troll fishing vessels during processing of the catch.

DISCUSSION

The northern Great Barrier Reef commercial troll fishery relies extensively on a number of garfish species (Hemiramphidae) for bait. Yet this family was represented in less than 1% of the adult stomach samples from this survey. Subsequent involvement by the author with the fishery (1976–1983) has not demonstrated any regular occurrence of this family in *S. commerson* stomach contents other than as bait. It is uncertain whether their low occurrence is due to hemiramphids successfully evading predation by *S. commerson* or if *S. commerson* chooses not to prey on these species.

Change in a sector of the	No. of iter	_ Occurence	
Stomacn contents	Individual items	Items/ family	%
Fish	-		-
Unidentified	195	195	51.5
Clupeidae unidentified Sardinella sp. Sardinella sirm Sprattelloides sp.	5 68 3 2	78	20.5
Carangidae Decapterus sp. Decapterus maraudsi Decapterus russelli Selar sp. Alectis sp. Megalaspis cordyla	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 10 \\ 2 \\ 6 \end{array} $	32	8.4
Caesionidae Caesio chrysozonus Caesio zanthurus Caesio cuning Caesio pisang	18 1 4 2	25	6.6
Scombridae Scomberomorus queenslandicus Grammatocynus bicarinatus Rastrelliger sp.	1 3 2 2	6	1.6
Scaridae Nemipteridae Pentapodus setosus Nemipterus sp.	1	2	<1.0
Exocoetidae	1	1	<1.0
Hemiramphidae	1	1	<1.0
Balistidae Platacidae	1	1	<1.0
Platax tiera	1	1	<1.0
Sphyraenidae	1	1	<1.0
Lutjanidae <i>Lutjanus</i> sp.	1	. 1	<1.0
Molluscs (Cephalopod)			
Squid (all families)	20	20	5.3
Crustaceans			
Penaeidae Penaeus sp. Penaeus longistylus	6 1	7	1.9
Metapenaeidae	3	3	<1.0
Trachypenaeidae	3	3	<1.0
Total food items		379	100.0

Table 3. Contents from 379 S. commerson (LCF)>500 mm) stomachs that contained food items

Other studies of *Scomberomorus* species that examined the bait fish used in relation to stomach contents showed that at least one of the dominant prey items was used as a major bait item (Prado 1970, Tongyai 1970, for *S. commerson*; Menezes 1969 for *S. cavalla*; and Klima 1959 for *S. maculatus*). The importance of clupeids, carangids, caesionids and squid in the diet of *S. commerson* in northern Queensland waters suggests

that utilising these groups as additional or alternative baits in the commercial fishery may be possible.

In the present study where food items were present, estimation of prey size was not possible as most prey items were identified from fragments. The species appears to feed primarily by biting larger fish (or baits) in half (or pieces) on the first attack, then perhaps snapping up the remains on the second pass. Tongyai (1970) found that 76% of identifiable food items of *S. commerson* in the Gulf of Thailand were present as the posterior half only.

Stomach contents of *S. commerson* adults taken from the commercial northern Great Barrier Reef troll fishery show that the species has a marked preference for open water pelagic fish (Clupeidae, Carangidae and Scombridae) and squid. MacInnes (1950) described the diet of *S. commerson* in Australian east coast waters as small fish, especially flying fish (Exocoetidae), prawns and squid. Other studies of the diet of *S. commerson* in Indo-Pacific waters have confirmed a preference toward pelagic fish and invertebrates. In the northern Gulf of Thailand the pelagic groups of Scombridae, Carangidae, Clupeidae, Lactariidae and squid comprised 76% of food items (Tongyai 1970) while beloniform fish (Hemiramphidae and Exocoetidae) and squid were the dominants in Papua New Guinea (Lewis *et al.* 1974). Clupeidae, Engraulidae, Carangidae, Caesionidae and squid were major prey items in Madagascar reef waters (Prado 1970).

Regurgitation and digestion of prey items contributed to a relatively low occurrence of food items (36.1%) from *S. commerson* stomachs in this study. Only 5% of 5 118 *S. commerson* stomachs examined by Tongyai (1970) in the Gulf of Thailand contained food items.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mr P. Cooper assisted with the collection and identification of prey items.

References

Anon. (1982), Fisheries Statistics, Queensland 1980-81, Australian Bureau of Statistics, Brisbane.

- Beaumariage, D. S. (1973), Age, Growth and Reproduction of King Mackerel, Scomberomorus cavalla, in Florida, Florida Marine Research Publication, No. 1, 45.
- Klima, E. F. (1959), Aspects of the Biology and the Fishery for Spanish Mackerel, Scomberomorus maculatus (Mitchell), of Southern Florida, Florida Board of Conservation, Technical Series, No. 27, 39.
- Lewis, A. D., Smith, B. R. and Kearney, R. E. (1974), Studies on tunas and baitfish in Papua New Guinea waters II, Department of Agriculture, Stock and Fisheries Research Bulletin No. 11, 76, Port Morseby, New Guinea.

MacInnes, I. G. (ed.) (1950), Australian Fisheries: a handbook prepared for the Second meeting of the Indo-Pacific Council, Sydney, April 1950, Halstead Press, Sydney.

McPherson, G. R. (1981), Preliminary report: Investigation of Spanish mackerel Scomberomorus commerson in Queensland waters, in C. J. Grant and D. G. Walter (eds) Northern Pelagic Fish Seminar, Darwin, Northern Territory, 20-21 January 1981. Australian Government Publication Service, Canberra, 50-58.

McPherson, G. R. (1985), Development of Queensland's mackerel fishery, Australian Fisheries 44 (8), 15-17.

- Menezes, M. F. (1969), Alimentacao de cavala, Scomberomorus cavalla, (Cuvier), em aguas costeiras do estado do Ceara, Archives of Oceanography 9 (1), 15-20.
- Prado, J. (1970), Notes sur Scomberomorus commerson Lacepede 1800 de la Cote nord-ouest de Madagascar: (1). Traveoux Facultie Science Universitie Rennes (Serie Oceanographie Biologie) 2, 91-116.

Tongyai, M. L. P. (1970), Plah in-see, Scomberomorus spp., in Thailand, 1967 in John C. Marr (ed.), The Kuroshio-A Symposium on the Japan Current, East-West Centre Press, Honolulu. 557-64.

(Accepted for publication 1 December 1986)