Queensland Journal of Agricultural and Animal Sciences Vol. 39 (2), 105-108 (1982) Published by the Queensland Department of Primary Industries

Ovicidal tests with insecticides against tomato grub, Heliothis armiger (Hübner)

J. R. Hargreaves, M.Sc., and L. P. Cooper

Summary

Commercial formulations of 11 insecticides currently used on tomatoes were evaluated in the field for ovicidal activity against the tomato grub, *Heliothis armiger* (Hübner). The highest ovicidal effect was shown by methomyl while acephate and DDT were not ovicidal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato grub, *Heliothis armiger* (Hübner), is a serious pest of tomatoes in south-east Queensland. Smith (1978) and Hargreaves and Cooper (1979) showed that a programme of weekly insecticide spray was effective for control. Recent experience in the Redland Bay district of south-east Queensland has demonstrated, however, that during *H. armiger* plague conditions, control obtained with such schedules is inadequate.

A potential exists for the use of ovicides during the short periods of intense egg laying associated with these plagues (Smith and Salkeld 1966). Methomyl and permethrin have been shown to be ovicidal for *Heliothis zea* (Boddie) (Nakano, Marchini and Yokoyama 1975; Tysowsky and Gallo 1977) and, although Waite (personal communication) showed methomyl to be ovicidal for *Heliothis* spp. on cotton in central Queensland, the ovicidal effects of other insecticides commonly used on tomatoes were unknown.

The studies reported here were conducted at Ormiston in the Redlands area near Brisbane, during the 1980 plague of H. armiger. The ovicidal effects of a range of insecticides currently used on tomato were compared over a concentration range from full to one quarter normal usage strength.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The insecticides used, percentages of active constituent and types of formulations were as follows:

75% w/w soluble powder Acephate 25% w/v emulsifiable concentrate DDT Deltamethrin 2.5% w/v emulsifiable concentrate Endosulfan 33% w/v emulsifiable concentrate 7.5% w/v emulsifiable concentrate Fenvalerate 58% w/v emulsifiable concentrate Methamidophos 22.5% w/v emulsifiable concentrate Methomyl Monocrotophos 40% w/v emulsifiable concentrate

J. R. HARGREAVES AND L. P. COOPER

Permethrin	50%	w/v emulsifiable concentrate
Prothiophos	72%	w/v emulsifiable concentrate
Sulprophos	72%	w/v emulsifiable concentrate

(Deltamethrin is the proposed common name for: (S)- α -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (*IR*, 3R)-3-(2,2-dibromovinyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropane carboxylate.)

Because of its lack of ovicidal activity, DDT was included as a standard.

Seven trials were conducted at the Redlands Horticultural Research Station, Ormiston, using the cultivar Floradade. Plants were trellised and had set their first hands of fruit when insecticides were applied. Trial 1 was carried out on 17 to 24 September, Trials 2 and 3 on 18 to 25 September, Trial 4 on 22 to 29 September, Trials 5 and 6 on 30 September to 7 October and Trial 7 on 2 to 9 October 1980.

Each plot comprised the plants in a 0.5 m section of row, and treatments were replicated four times in a totally randomized design (details of treatments are given in Table 1). Plants were sprayed to the point of run off using a 'Rega' continuous pressure hand atomizer, after heavy oviposition by *Heliothis* spp. had occurred. The eggs sprayed were not uniform in their embryonic development.

Treatment (% a.i.) No treatment		Percentage mortality of eggs							
		Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 4	Trial 5	Trial 6	Trial 7	
		0.0a (27.2)	0.8 <i>a</i> (28.3)	1.0 <i>a</i> (30.5)	1.3a (35.5)	1.7 <i>a</i> (29.5)	1.0 <i>a</i> (30.0)	0.7 <i>a</i> (32.0)	
Acephate	0.075	12.4 <i>ab</i> (30.2)							
	0.0375		0.0 <i>a</i> (25.2)						
	0.0188			3.0 <i>a</i> (35.0)					
DDT	0.10							0.7 <i>a</i> (35.2)	
	0.05		1.8 <i>a</i> (49.5)			13.4 <i>a</i> (60.5)			
	0.025			8.7 <i>ab</i> (47.5)			5.4 <i>ab</i> (49.5)		
Deltamethrin	0.005							12.8 <i>b</i> (40.5)	
	0.0025					16.2 <i>ab</i> (49.0)			
	0.001 25						16.1 <i>c</i> (34.0)		
Endosulfan	0.067	26.4 <i>c</i> (24.2)							
	0.033		10.3 <i>ab</i> (36.7)						
	0.0167			2.3 <i>a</i> (31.2)					
Fenvalerate	0.005	49.0 <i>d</i> (48.0)							
	0.0025		22.6 <i>bc</i> (40.2)						
	0.001 25			5.8 <i>a</i> (43.7)			· ··		

Table 1. Percentages of Heliothis eggs which failed to hatch during field trials at Ormiston, September-October 1980

Table 1 continued

Treatment (% a.i.)		Percentage mortality of eggs							
		Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 4	Trial 5	Trial 6	Trial 7	
Methamidophos	0.11	18.3 <i>bc</i> (33.7)						28.7 <i>c</i> (46.2)	
	0.055		18.5 <i>b</i> (60.2)	••		28.2 <i>b</i> (30.2)			
	0.027			4.3 <i>a</i> (64.0)			15.9 <i>c</i> (29.7)		
Methomyl	0.05	91.2e (52.5)			84.1 <i>d</i> (31.7)			95.0 <i>d</i> (42.0)	
	0.025		98.4 <i>d</i> (30.5)			69.7 <i>c</i> (52.5)			
	0.0125			79.7 <i>d</i> (41.5)			58.3 <i>d</i> (33.0)		
Monocrotophos	0.10	26.5 <i>c</i> (41.7)				••		31.1 <i>c</i> (41.2)	
	0.05		8.1 <i>ab</i> (31.0)			5.3 <i>a</i> (35.7)			
	0.025			5.8 <i>a</i> (42.7)			3.0 <i>a</i> (32.2)		
Permethrin	0.005				15.1 <i>b</i> (33.0)				
	0.0025		30.0 <i>bc</i> (37.0)						
	0.001 25		••	16.1 <i>bc</i> (59.2)					
Prothiophos	0.10							23.6 <i>c</i> (49.0)	
	0.05	••,				21.1 <i>b</i> (27.2)			
	0.025						12.3 <i>bc</i> (45.7)		
Sulprophos	0.072				44.2 <i>c</i> (48.0)			23.1 <i>bc</i> (44.7)	
	0.036		33.0 <i>c</i> (68.5)	·		27.5 <i>b</i> (40.2)			
	0.018			18.2 <i>c</i> (78.2)			12.0 <i>bc</i> (46.2)		

Values, within columns, with a common letter do not differ at the 5% level of probability (analysis of variance and l.s.d. test). The mean number of eggs per leaf is shown in parentheses.

The effects of treatments were determined from counts of hatched and unhatched eggs on leaves examined under a stereo microscope 7 days after spraying (as in Clift (1976), an egg was considered hatched when the chorion was broken). For this purpose, one leaf per plot was removed immediately after spraying and the leaves were then kept with the petiole in water in separate vials in a field screen house until they were examined. Results were adjusted for mortality in the controls by Abbot's formula (Abbot 1925) except for mortality of less than 5% which was not adjusted (Winteringham 1969).

Heliothis larvae from unsprayed plants were reared to the adult stage using the diet of Patana (1969), to allow determination of the species involved.

J. R. HARGREAVES AND L. P. COOPER

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are summarized in Table 1. Using the criteria of Mitri and Kamel (1970) for ovicidal action, methomyl had a high ovicidal action at all concentrations. Fenvalerate and sulprophos had medium ovicidal action at their highest concentrations only. Deltamethrin, endosulfan, methamidophos, monocrotophos, permethrin and prothiophos showed only low levels of ovicidal activity. No ovicidal activity was shown by acephate or DDT.

Eighty three larvae were bred to the adult state, all of which were *Heliothis armiger* (Hübner).

A regular schedule of methomyl has been shown to give less control than other cover sprays (Hargreaves and Cooper 1979), possibly due to methomyl's short residual life. However, the results suggest that methomyl's ovicidal effect could be used to advantage either as an adjunct to routine sprays of other insecticides preferred against larvae during plague outbreaks of *H. armiger* or as a population suppressant as indicated by insect scouting.

References

- Abbot, W. S. (1925), 'A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide'. Journal of Economic Entomology 18, 265-67.
- Clift, A. D. (1976), 'Activity of chlordimeform against organochlorine resistant and susceptible strains of *Heliothis* spp. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from New South Wales'. *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society* 15, 127-28.
- Hargreaves, J. R., and Cooper, L. P. (1979), 'Insecticides for control of tomato grubs, Heliothis armiger (Hübner), the potato moth, Phthorimaea operculella (Zeller) in tomatoes'. Queensland Journal of Agricultural and Animal Sciences 36, 141-46.
- Mitri, H. S., and Kamel, A. A. M. (1970), 'Ovicidal effect of certain newer insecticides on Spodoptera littoralis egg masses'. Journal of Economic Entomology 63, 676-78.

Nakano, O., Marchini, L. C., and Yokoyama, M. (1975), 'Suscetibilidade de Helicoverpa zea (Bod., 1850) e Heliothis virescens (Fabr. 1871) (Lepidoptera — Noctuidae) e alguns insecticides na fase de ovo'. Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil 4, 67-72. Abstracted in Review of Applied Entomology (A) 65, 1392.

Patana, R. (1969), Rearing Cotton Insects in the Laboratory. Product Research Report United States Department of Agriculture No. 108.

Smith, D. (1978), 'Control of Heliothis armiger and Phthorimaea operculella in tomatoes in south-eastern Queensland 1971-1975'. Queensland Journal of Agricultural and Animal Sciences 35, 47-52.

Smith, E. H., and Salkeld, H. (1966), 'The use and action of ovicides'. Annual Review of Entomology 11, 331-68.
Tysowsky, M., and Gallo, T. (1977), 'Ovicidal activity of Ambush, a synthetic pyrethroid insecticide, on corn earworm, fall armyworm and cabbage looper'. Florida Entomologist 60, 287-90.

Winteringham, F. P. W. (1969), 'FAO International collaborative programme for the development of standardised tests for resistance of agricultural pests to pesticides'. FAO Plant Protection Bulletin 17, 73-82.

(Received for publication 20 November 1980)

The authors are members of Entomology Branch and are stationed at Ormiston, Q. 4163.

108