Inter-seasonal population dynamics and pest status of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) biotype B in an Australian cropping system
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Abstract

*Bemisia tabaci*, biotype B, commonly known as the silverleaf whitefly (SLW) is an alien species that invaded Australia in the mid-90s. This paper reports on the invasion ecology of SLW and the factors that are likely to have contributed to the first outbreak of this major pest in an Australian cotton cropping system. Population dynamics of SLW within whitefly-susceptible crop (cotton and cucurbit) and non-crop vegetation (sowthistle, *Sonchus* spp.) components of the cropping system were investigated over four consecutive growing seasons (September–June) 2001/02–2004/05 in the Emerald Irrigation Area (EIA) of Queensland, Australia. Based on fixed geo-referenced sampling sites, variation in spatial and temporal abundance of SLW within each system component was quantified to provide baseline data for the development of ecologically sustainable pest management strategies. Parasitism of large (3rd and 4th instars) SLW nymphs by native aphelinid wasps was quantified to determine the potential for natural control of SLW populations. Following the initial outbreak in 2001/02, SLW abundance declined and stabilised over the next three seasons. The population dynamics of SLW is characterised by inter-seasonal population cycling between the non-crop (weed) and cotton components of the EIA cropping system. Cotton was the largest sink for and source of SLW during the study period. Over-wintering populations dispersed from weed host plant sources to cotton in spring followed by a reverse dispersal in late summer and autumn to broad-leaved crops and weeds. A basic spatial source-sink analysis showed that SLW adult and nymph densities were higher in cotton fields that were closer to over-wintering weed sources throughout spring than in fields that were further away. Cucurbit fields were not significant sources of SLW and did not appear to contribute significantly to the regional population dynamics of the pest. Substantial parasitism of nymphal stages throughout the study period indicates that native parasitoid species and other natural enemies are important sources of SLW mortality in Australian cotton production systems. Weather conditions and use of broad-spectrum insecticides for pest control are implicated in the initial outbreak and on-going pest status of SLW in the region.
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Introduction

The Silverleaf whitefly (SLW), *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) B-biotype is an important pest of cropping systems worldwide (Brown *et al*., 1995; De Barro, 1995; Ellsworth *et al*., 1999; Oliveira *et al*., 2001). The presence of SLW in Australia was first detected in 1994 (Gunning *et al*., 1995): the pest has since become a major production constraint on a wide variety of horticultural, fibre, summer grain and oilseed crops in major coastal cropping regions of Queensland and New South Wales (De Barro, 1995; Gunning & Cottage, 2000).

The SLW threat to the cotton industry stems principally from the contamination of lint by honeydew excreted by the immature stages and adults. Honeydew contamination can result in crippling price penalties or, in severe cases, make the lint unmarketable. Regional cotton industries with endemic whitefly problems become vulnerable to the stigma of sticky cotton and the consequential negative market sentiment and long-term economic handicap (Hector & Hodkinson, 1989; Ellsworth *et al*., 1999).

SLW was first detected in the Emerald Irrigation Area (EIA) of central Queensland (CQ) in 1998, mainly on ornamental plants in parks and gardens (Franzmann *et al*., 1998). However, it was not until the winter of 2001 that large numbers of SLW requiring control developed in a few fields of pumpkin, melon and squash (De Barro, 2001). In the following summer months (December 2001–February 2002), the pest increased in numbers rapidly and the EIA experienced its first large-scale outbreak of SLW on cotton, summer grain, horticultural crops, nursery plants and broad-leaved uncultivated host plants (weeds hereafter) (De Barro, 2002; Moore *et al*., 2004).

Insect pest activity in the 2001/02 growing season (September–June) in the EIA was dominated overwhelmingly by SLW. Unsuccessful attempts to control the pest in cotton with commonly used insecticides are likely to have contributed to population growth and subsequent spread of the outbreak by March 2002. In the following months, significant SLW activity was also detected in rain-fed crops surrounding the EIA and in the nearby Dawson Valley (Theodore), thereby broadening the threat posed by the pest to one of regional significance.

Here, we report on the population dynamics, host plant relationships and parasitism of SLW in the EIA over four consecutive growing seasons, 2001/02–2004/05. This program of research was undertaken in response to the need to understand SLW field ecology and drivers of pest status and to aid the development of an effective management strategy. Spatial and temporal population growth profiles of SLW, the importance of natural mortality factors and relationships between major whitefly-hosting components of the EIA cropping system were investigated. An index of parasitism by native aphelinid wasps was used to examine the activity of natural enemies and gauge their potential role in the population dynamics of SLW. The results are discussed in the context of local weather conditions and crop management practices.

Materials and methods

Spatial and temporal abundance of SLW

Sampling was conducted on the broad-leaved cropping system components that were known to be susceptible to infestation viz, field crops, weeds and cucurbit crops. Field crops sampled included cotton, sunflower, pulses (soybean, mungbean, navy bean, adzucki bean), melons, pumpkin, peanut and lucerne. The importance of broad-leaved weed plants as sources of future SLW crop infestations was gauged by sampling *Sonchus oleraceus* L. (sowthistle), which is prolific in the CQ cropping system and one that is most commonly associated with SLW.

The irrigated cropping area in and around the town of Emerald (23°23'S, 148°10'E) was compartmentalised into seven sampling sectors demarcated by major roads. Fixed sampling sites representing cotton, cucurbit, other (non-cotton) field crop and weed plants were identified for year-round sampling within each area sector (fig. 1). GPS coordinates marked the centre of each sampling site. Cotton and weed sites were represented in all sampling sectors. The NW and FR sectors accounted for the bulk of the cotton production area and, consequently, the majority of cotton sampling sites (table 1). Horticultural sites were split between mostly small (<2 ha) cucurbit fields in the SE sector, watermelons in the SW and rockmelons in the NE sector (table 1).

In the first (2001/02) season, sampling was conducted at monthly intervals within the NE, NW, SE, SW and FR sectors (fig. 1, table 1), primarily on cotton. Within each sector, adult and large (3rd and 4th instar) nymph counts were obtained from 200 cotton plants from each of ten sampling sites. Sampling of weeds was limited by the paucity of suitable sites as a result of drought conditions. Weed sites were sampled according to availability, primarily between July and September. Counts of adult and juvenile SLW were obtained from ten sowthistle plants within each of ten sites within each sector.

The scope of the sampling effort was expanded in 2002/03 to 164 sites over all crops (table 1) in response to the large scale of the outbreak in the previous season. The frequency of sampling was increased to fortnightly intervals whilst the sample size was reduced to 30 plants. At weed sampling sites where plant population density declined as a result of drought, the sample size was reduced to 15 plants. The sampling effort was progressively reduced in the third and fourth growing seasons (69 and 50 sites, respectively), reflecting a shift in the research focus from area-wide population dynamics to field and crop level aspects of bionomics, and development of management tactics.

Sampling cotton crops

Cotton sampling was based on protocols for monitoring SLW in field crops developed in Arizona, USA (Naranjo *et al*., 1997; Ellsworth & Martinez-Carrillo, 2001). Commercial crop sites were sampled in a zigzag or U-shaped pattern after walking approximately 20 m into the crop and allowing 5 m between individual samples. In 2002/03, sampling was restricted to the 5th node leaf, so as to test the applicability of Arizona cotton sampling protocols (Naranjo *et al*., 1997) for making insecticide application decisions in CQ cotton fields. Adult density was estimated by gently turning over a single leaf and counting the total number of insects on the abaxial side. The same leaf was used to estimate the density of nymphs. All large nymphs within a 3.88 cm² disk placed in the leaf sector formed by the left and middle major veins on the underside were counted. In all other seasons, the estimation of SLW density was based on a more flexible
sampling protocol to account for variation in plant pheno-
logy within and between cropping units (fields); adult
density was assessed on a randomly selected 3rd, 4th or
5th node leaf, whereas the density of large nymphs was as-
sessed on a randomly selected 5th, 6th or 7th node leaf using
a 3.88 cm² disk.

**Sampling non-cotton crops**

The protocol for SLW sampling in non-cotton crops was
based on the general trend for spatial segregation of adults
and juvenile stages along the main stem of the plant, as
reported in the literature (reviewed by De Barro, 1995). In
cucurbit fields, adult density was estimated visually on the
abaxial side of one leaf randomly selected from the apical half
of each vine, whereas large nymph numbers were estimated
from a randomly selected leaf from the lower half using a
leaf disc. In lucerne and peanut crops, terminal leaves were
examined for SLW adults and crown leaves were scrutinized
for the large nymphs. The 3.88 cm² disc was not suitable for
plants with tri-foliate leaves. In these cases, the number of
large nymphs on the entire trifoliate leaf was recorded.

**Table 1. Sampling sectors, number of sites sampled (all crops) and areas of production (ha) under cotton and horticulture (cucurbits and
melons) in the Emerald Irrigation Area. (Source: W. Wilkinson, Department of Natural Resources, Emerald).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Areas of production (ha) ^1</th>
<th>Cotton</th>
<th>Horticulture</th>
<th>Total ^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01/02</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>03/04</td>
<td>04/05</td>
<td>Planted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSE</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 2002/03 growing season; 2 Includes fallow cotton areas; 3 Includes areas under grain legumes, summer and winter cereals;
* Watermelon; ** Rockmelon.
Sampling weeds

Adults density was estimated visually on the abaxial side of one randomly selected fully unfurled leaf from the apical half of the plant (excluding the youngest leaves). The density of large nymphs was estimated from a 3.88 cm$^2$ disk placed on a randomly selected leaf from the bottom half of the plant.

Unless stated otherwise, individual leaf counts (leaf$^{-1}$ and cm$^{-2}$ for adults and nymphs, respectively) were log$_{10}$ (x+1) transformed prior to analysis, and sampling site means were used in all analyses.

Parasitism of SLW

Parasitism by Encarsia and Eretmocerus parasitoid wasps was assessed from a subset of the GPS tagged sampling sites surveyed for SLW abundance. In Australia, only species of Eretmocerus and Encarsia parasitise B. tabaci. Within individual parasitized nymphs, the two genera are easily distinguished by the shape of the larva and by the presence of meconium pellets in whitefly parasitised by Encarsia (De Barro & Coombs, in press).

Following the same protocol used to estimate SLW abundance (see previous section) in cotton, other field crops and weeds, a set of 30 leaves with large nymphs was collected from each site (total of 72 sites in 2002/03, 100 in 2003/04 and 44 in 2004/05) for examination using a microscope to determine parasitism. One leaf was excised from the lower half of a randomly selected plant; sample size for weeds varied from 15–30 leaves depending on the size of the plant stands. The status of each large nymph (parasitized or healthy) was recorded in all samples. The immature wasp within each parasitised nymph in the 2003/04 and 2004/05 samples was identified to the genus level. Percentage parasitism of large nymphs was computed after summing over all the leaves collected from all sampling
sites. Estimates of standard error for proportions were calculated according to Zar (1998).

**Analysis**

The relative significance of whitefly-susceptible cropping system components as inter-seasonal sources of, and sinks for, SLW was investigated by means of a basic spatial analysis using the combined 2002/03 and 2003/04 nymph and adult abundance data. The analysis was restricted to the cotton and weeds components because they were adequately represented in the sampling scheme; the cucurbit component was excluded because of the relatively insignificant production area and limited number of sampling sites.

A geographical information systems computer package, ArcGIS 9.2 (Environmental Systems Research Institute Inc. California, USA), was used to produce a two-dimensional spatial map showing the geo-referenced locations of cotton and weed sampling sites in relation to each other. A circle with 5-km radius was drawn around the centre of each weed site. The mean density of SLW and the mean distance to the centre of the weed site were estimated for all cotton sites within each circle. Linear regression was used to quantify the relationship between mean log SLW abundance and mean log distance from a weed site at each sampling date between October and February.

**Results**

**Spatial and temporal abundance of SLW**

The 2001/02 growing season began with low numbers and a moderate threefold increase in the population growth rate of SLW on cotton crops between October and November (fig. 2a). A 70-fold increase in the growth rate between November and December fuelled exponential population growth to March 2002, by which time cotton crops in all sectors of the EIA and the CQ region were infested with SLW (fig. 2b). Dispersal of adults from cotton to other crops and weeds commenced around mid-January, well before defoliation.

In post-outbreak seasons (2002/03–2004/05), mean seasonal density of SLW on cotton peaked at less than one-tenth of the corresponding density in 2001/02. Population growth on cotton and weeds varied among sampling sectors (fig. 3a–d). The abundance of SLW on weeds largely reflects the survival of weed stands in 2003 and 2004. Progressively fewer weed sites were sampled during the season as the availability of sites declined as a result of persisting drought conditions in 2002/03 and 2003/04 (fig. 4a, b). By comparison, the number of cotton sites sampled was limited not by availability but by the beginning and end of the commercial cotton season.

In the absence of cultivated SLW-susceptible (host) crops through winter and early spring, SLW populations persisted through this period each year on broad-leaved weeds such as sowthistle. The area-wide spring reservoir of SLW increased after the 2001/02 season and remained relatively stable thereafter, as evidenced by the abundance of large nymphs on sowthistle in August each year (fig. 5a). The
August 2003 survey showed low SLW densities on weeds in all sampling sectors except SW; extensive sowthistle plant stands carrying large SLW populations were found along the borders of fields and in the under-storey of citrus orchards in the SW sector.

Variable densities of SLW were found in sunflower, pulse (soybean, navy bean, Dolichos, adzuki bean), peanut and lucerne crops planted in summer (December–February) in and around the EIA. Sunflower and soybean were generally more attractive to SLW than lucerne and peanut in terms of colonization and population growth (fig. 5b). Mass colonization of sunflower and pulse crops by SLW as far as 100 km away from the irrigation area was observed in February and March each year.

Seasonal SLW population profiles showed a steady decrease in abundance of SLW on weeds in spring each year followed by a rapid increase on cotton in early summer (December; fig. 6a–c). The outputs of the spatial analysis showed that regression of mean log abundance (large nymph and adult) in cotton fields on mean log distance from the centre of over-wintering weed sites resulted in a negative coefficient (slope) at all sampling dates except 17 February (table 2). The regression coefficients for nymph abundance were statistically significant at all sample dates leading up to the open bolls and defoliation stages (26 January and 17 February). The corresponding coefficients for adult abundance were statistically significant in the cotton post-flowering, active growth stages (25 November–6 January; table 2).

Cucurbit fields, particularly rockmelon and pumpkin, harboured substantial SLW populations (fig. 7). In the SE sector, where most of the small-scale cucurbit fields were located, in the 2002/03 season the mean (±SEM) log density of SLW large nymphs on cotton within 400 m of cucurbit population sources in the October–February sampling period was 0.068 cm⁻² (0.031 cm⁻²), whereas the corresponding

![Fig. 6. Population density profiles of SLW large nymphs on cotton (●) and weeds (□) in the Emerald Irrigation Area over three consecutive growing seasons: (a) 2002/03, (b) 2003/04 and (c) 2004/05. Error bars show SEM.](image-url)
Table 2. Parameter estimates and statistics for linear regression of mean log adult (A_c) and large SLW nymph (N_c) abundance at cotton sampling sites in the vicinity (5 km radius) of a winter/spring weed source on mean log distance (D_c-w) to the centre of the weed source for the combined 2002/03 and 2003/04 SLW survey data collected in the Emerald Irrigation Area at fixed (geo-references) cotton and weed sampling sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample date</th>
<th>Regression statistics</th>
<th>Parameter estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model: A_c = b × D_c-w + C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Oct</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Nov</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Nov</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>13.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Dec</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>19.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Jan</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Jan</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Feb</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model: N_c = b × D_c-w + C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Oct</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>12.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Nov</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Nov</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Dec</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>17.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Jan</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>12.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Jan</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Feb</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b, regression coefficient.

![Fig. 7. Monthly mean density of SLW adults on cucurbit crops in the Emerald irrigation area in 2002/03 and 2003/04. Error bars show SEM.](http://www.cambridge.org/core)
resource facilitating population growth of SLW that contributed to the outbreak in late 2001 and the persistence of the SLW pest problem in subsequent seasons.

In the absence of crop options capable of supporting over-wintering populations, broad-leaved weeds, including volunteer crop plants in fallow fields, are the main sources of SLW in spring each year. Inter-seasonal cycling between weeds in autumn/winter and spring/summer host crops, particularly cotton, is a notable feature of SLW population dynamics in the EIA. The within-season population profiles (fig. 6) are consistent with steady, low-level dispersal of SLW from gradually diminishing stands of weeds (e.g. Sonchus) scattered broadly in and around the irrigation area to cotton in spring.

The proposed SLW source-sink relationship between winter weeds and spring cotton is supported by the results of the spatial analysis. Statistically significant negative coefficients for the regression of mean SLW adult and nymph densities in cotton on mean distance from weed sites (table 2) indicate higher population densities on cotton closer to spring weed sources of SLW. The pattern of negative coefficients at all sampling dates leading up to physiological maturity is consistent with higher population growth rates on cotton closer to spring weed sources as a result of earlier colonisation and the ensuing developmental advantage.

The spatial analysis does not provide quantitative analytical support for the reverse source-sink relationship (cotton to weeds) in late summer. However, cotton was revealed as the only significant source of large SLW populations at the end of summer in the four-year survey period. The observed late increase in SLW abundance on weeds regenerated by summer rainfall events (fig. 6a, b) and other host crops in January/February is consistent in timing with the dispersal of adults from finishing cotton crops.

Fig. 8. Temporal changes in mean total parasitism (site⁻¹; bars) by the native aphelinid wasps *Eretmocerus* and *Encarsia* spp. in relation to changes in mean abundance of large SLW nymphs (lines) on (a) cotton and (b) sowthistle host plants in the Emerald Irrigation Area. Error bars show SEM.

Fig. 9. Mean proportion of large SLW nymphs parasitised on cucurbit, grain, pulse and oilseed crops in the Emerald Irrigation Area. Number of sites sampled is shown at the top of each bar. Error bars represent estimated binomial error of the proportion.
Table 3. Parasitoids of *Bemisia tabaci* and frequency of encounters in survey collections throughout major cropping areas of Queensland, between July 1998 and June 2001. (Data Source: Dr B.A. Franzmann, Queensland Department of Primary Industries & Fisheries, Toowoomba).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus/species</th>
<th>Em</th>
<th>Bi</th>
<th>Oa</th>
<th>Da</th>
<th>Wa</th>
<th>Go</th>
<th>SG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Encarsia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ajusta</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>azimi</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bimaculata</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formosa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lutea</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mincoi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oakeyensis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pergandella</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sophia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eretmocerus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mundus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>queenslandensis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Em, Emerald; Bi, Biloela; Oa, Oakey; Da, Dalby; Wa, Warra; Go, Goondiwindi; SG, St. George.

Fig. 10. Chemical insecticide usage (kg of active ingredient) in conventional (clear bars) and Bt cotton (hatched bars) crops in the Emerald Irrigation Area. (a) Organophosphates, (b) Pyrethroids. (Data source: Cotton Consultants Australia).

In mixed cropping systems such as the low desert agro-ecosystems of Arizona and the Lower Rio Grande Valley of Texas, USA, small-scale horticultural crops often serve as foci for SLW, resulting in the development of localised population hotspots in adjacent crops (Blua et al., 1994; Legaspi et al., 1997). Consistent with these findings, our study shows that cucurbit crops are good whitefly hosts that can be sources of substantial SLW populations (fig. 7) and can result in higher SLW densities in adjacent (<1 km) cotton fields.

In general, cucurbit crops did not appear to contribute significantly to the regional pool or dispersal patterns of SLW in our study, primarily because of the relatively small areas under these crops (table 1) in any year. By comparison, sunflower and pulse (soybean, navy bean, Dolichos, adzuki bean) crops that follow cotton in the cropping sequence adopted in the EIA and surrounding cropping areas served as intermediate hosts for SLW populations, facilitating the transition from cotton to over-wintering weed hosts. Low densities of SLW in lucerne and peanut are consistent with the results of field choice tests (Moore et al., 2004), which show that they are not preferred host plants, even in outbreak situations.

SLW is attacked by numerous predatory and parasitic arthropods worldwide (Gerling et al., 2001). In Australia, aphelinid wasps from the genera *Encarsia* and *Eretmocerus* are among the most important in terms of natural biological control (De Barro et al., 2000; Schmidt et al., 2001). SLW surveys and collections over several years in the main grain cropping areas of Queensland have revealed the presence of at least ten species of *Encarsia* and three species of *Eretmocerus* (table 3).

The parasitism data leave little doubt that parasitoids are an important source of whitefly mortality in Australian cotton fields. Parasitoid activity was negligible or undetectable in cotton fields in the 2001/02 season (P. De Barro, unpublished data). In subsequent seasons, the rapid build-up of parasitoid activity in the EIA, mirrored in other
cropping areas of CQ, e.g. Dawson-Callide valley (P. Grundy, personal communication), undoubtedly contributed to the post-outbreak decline and subsequent stability of SLW populations in the region.

The rapid increase in parasitism levels during the 2002/03 season can be attributed to cooler weather conditions (relative to the previous season) and a voluntary avoidance of broad-spectrum insecticides for cotton pest management (fig. 10, see below). A partial resurgence in organophosphate (OP) usage in cotton attributed to increased sucking pest and Helicoverpa spp activity, explains lower levels of parasitism in the following seasons. These results are consistent with experiences in cotton systems overseas, wherein rapid increases in natural enemy populations and associated pest mortality have been documented in IPM-friendly crop management regimes (Ellsworth & Martinez-Carrillo, 2001; Naranjo, 2001).

Feral host plants and unsprayed broad-leaved summer crops are not only sinks and sources of SLW at different times but also nurseries for parasitoids and other natural enemies. De Barro & Coombs (in press) noted in surveys between 1995 and 1999 that while parasitoid diversity was high, average parasitism over this period was less than 5%. In our study, parasitism was at times higher than this and suggests that local factors can contribute to wide variation in levels of parasitism. The significance of predatory arthropods for SLW population dynamics was not formally assessed in our study. Characterisation of interactions between various species of natural enemy will be important to the further understanding of their relative impacts on pest host species such as SLW.

Increased whitefly population growth rates in response to higher temperatures (Butler et al., 1983; Powell & Bellows, 1992; Wagner, 1993), combined with the negative impacts of broad-spectrum insecticides on beneficial insect populations (Abdelrahman & Munir, 1989; Devine et al., 1998; Simmons & Jackson, 2000; Naranjo, 2001), are likely to have provided the ecosystem pre-requisites for explosive population growth in 2001/02. Temperature and rainfall records obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology indicate that the November 2001–January 2002 period was unusually warm in comparison to previous years and most parts of CQ received around 50% or less of the average rainfall and more than twice the average number of ‘hot’ days (>36°C). The use of organophosphate and pyrethroid insecticides peaked in 2001/02 (fig. 9) before dropping sharply in 2002/03 and subsequent seasons due to the voluntary action of the CQ cotton industry to minimise flaring of SLW populations.

SLW has developed resistance to many commonly used agricultural insecticides, particularly the older broad-spectrum chemical groups such as OPs and pyrethroids (Palumbo et al., 2001). Only a few relatively new chemical insecticides are currently effective in the control of SLW (Ellsworth & Martinez-Carrillo, 2001). Attempts to control the pest with broad-spectrum chemicals often result in flaring – increased population growth rate and ultimately more whitefly – primarily due to the negative impacts of these products on most beneficial arthropods that are normally important agents of natural mortality and population regulation (Abdelrahman & Munir, 1989; Devine et al., 1998).

From a management perspective, the data presented here indicate that sustainable regional management of SLW requires an integrated cropping system approach with a central focus on control of SLW in cotton, the main driver of SLW population dynamics, in CQ. Successful control of SLW on cotton would contribute significantly to regional management of the pest and reduction of the whitefly threat to non-cotton cropping industries in the region.
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