Impacts of feeding system and season on milk composition and Cheddar cheese yield in a subtropical environment
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Abstract. Milk obtained from cows on 2 subtropical dairy feeding systems were compared for their suitability for Cheddar cheese manufacture. Cheeses were made in a small-scale cheesemaking plant capable of making 2 blocks (about 2 kg each) of Cheddar cheese concurrently. Its repeatability was tested over 10 separate cheesemaking days with no significant differences being found between the 2 vats in cheesemaking parameters or cheese characteristics.

In the feeding trial, 16 pairs of Holstein–Friesian cows were used in 2 feeding systems (M1, rain-grown tropical grass pastures and oats; and M5, a feedlot, based on maize/barley silage and lucerne hay) over 2 seasons (spring and autumn corresponding to early and late lactation, respectively). Total dry matter, crude protein (kg/cow.day) and metabolisable energy (MJ/cow.day) intakes were 17, 2.7, and 187 for M1 and 24, 4, 260 for M5, respectively. M5 cows produced higher milk yields and milk with higher protein and casein levels than the M1 cows, but the total solids and fat levels were similar ($P>0.05$) for both M1 and M5 cows. The yield and yield efficiency of cheese produced from the 2 feeding systems were also not significantly different. The results suggest that intensive tropical pasture systems can produce milk suitable for Cheddar cheese manufacture when cows are supplemented with a high energy concentrate. Season and stage of lactation had a much greater effect than feeding system on milk and cheesemaking characteristics with autumn (late lactation) milk having higher protein and fat contents and producing higher cheese yields.
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Introduction

A combination of several factors is known to affect milk composition, in particular milk protein concentration, which is a major determinant of the processing quality of milk for the manufacture of cheese. Other factors include the breed of cow, the environment, herd management practices, disease status, the stage of lactation, parity, the season and feeding system (White 2001). The highest incidence of low milk protein is observed in south-east Queensland during the spring and summer months when forage quality is poorest (Barber et al. 2001). This results in reduced income for producers and also processors due to the impact on cheese yield efficiency. For instance, on average, an 0.1% reduction in the total casein concentration reduces Cheddar cheese yield potential by 0.5 kg/100 kg milk (Guinee et al. 2001), which means an economic loss of AU$36 million to a cheese manufacturer processing 200 ML of milk a year.

Relationships between milk composition and milk processability in terms of cheese yield have been documented for temperate regions (Banks 1990; Christian et al. 1999a, 1999b; Guinee et al. 2001; Phelan et al. 1982). In subtropical Australia, the decline in milk protein during spring and summer may be a result of reduced nutrient intake owing to declines in the soluble carbohydrate, metabolisable energy (ME) and protein content of forage available to the dairy cow. Reduced forage quality may be related to lower levels of milk protein (Beever et al. 2001; Murphy and O’Mara 1993) and casein fractions (Christian et al. 1999b; Mackle et al. 1999). There is also the direct effect of heat on the cow, which causes reductions in milk protein content (Barber et al. 2001).

In south-east Queensland, environmental modifications of the dairy production system are needed to enable Holstein–Friesian cows to express their genetic potential in milk production and to also maintain their milk cheese yielding performance. There is considerable interest in developing strategies to increase milk solids, in particular milk protein, while maintaining or possibly increasing milk output.
One reason for developing such strategies is to improve the suitability of the milk for cheesemaking. The study reported in this paper was part of a broader research program examining various strategies in intensive dairy production systems with the objectives of increasing profitability and labour efficiency (Andrews et al. 2002). It compared the milk produced by cows on 2 different subtropical dairy feeding systems for composition, processability and Cheddar cheese yield efficiency. A small-scale experimental cheesemaking plant was developed for the cheese trials.

Materials and methods

Small-scale cheesemaking plant

A small-scale cheesemaking plant consisting of 2 36-L capacity cheese vats was built inside a 225-L capacity stainless steel cheese vat. Electric motor driven agitators equipped with stainless steel blades were positioned on each side of the main vat to provide continuous agitation of the chessemilk during cheesemaking. Temperature was controlled by directly injecting steam or cold water as required into water contained in the main vat.

Cheesemaking

Milk standardisation

On arrival in the laboratory, milk for cheesemaking was subsampled and analysed for fat and protein to enable standardisation of the milk. On each cheesemaking day, 10 L of the bulk whole milk was warmed to 50°C and skimmed with a cream separator, for use in standardising the chessemilk. Chessemilk was standardised to a casein : fat ratio of 0.7 by altering the fat content through addition of cream or skim milk as required. Following standardisation, the milk was batch-pansteurised at 63°C for 30 min, cooled to 32°C and weighed into the 2 vats (20 kg each).

Cheesemaking: Milk standardisation

On arrival in the laboratory, milk for cheesemaking was subsampled and analysed for fat and protein to enable standardisation of the milk. On each cheesemaking day, 10 L of the bulk whole milk was warmed to 50°C and skimmed with a cream separator, for use in standardising the chessemilk. Chessemilk was standardised to a casein : fat ratio of 0.7 by altering the fat content through addition of cream or skim milk as required. Following standardisation, the milk was batch-pansteurised at 63°C for 30 min, cooled to 32°C and weighed into the 2 vats (20 kg each).

Cheese yield

Cheese yield for each vat was determined as the weight of cheese after pressing, expressed on the basis of 100 kg of milk. The percentage recoveries of fat and protein in cheese and whey were calculated. Total weights of whey collected during draining, cheddaring, salting and pressing were used in the mass balance calculations. Theoretical and adjusted cheese yields were calculated using the modified Van Slyke and Publow formula (Mistry et al. 2002).

Theoretical Cheddar cheese yield = \[ \frac{[F \times R_S + C - 0.1] \times 1.016}{1 - SC} \times M \times (1 - WS) \]

where \( F \) is percent fat in milk, \( R_S \) is percent of fat in milk recovered in cheese/100, \( C \) is percent casein in milk, \( SC \) is percent salt in cheese/100, \( M \) is percent moisture in cheese/100, \( WS \) is percent solids in whey/100. Targeted cheese composition for this calculation is indicated below. Cheese yield was adjusted for moisture and salt, casein and fat, and fat recovery as follows:

\[ Y_{ad} = Y_{act} \times \frac{TY_{act}}{TY_{adj}} \]

where \( Y_{adj} \) is adjusted yield, \( Y_{act} \) is actual yield, \( TY_{adj} \) is theoretical yield where actual values are used, and \( TY_{act} \) is theoretical yield where only the components to be adjusted are changed to target values. Target values were experimental means: 4.4% fat in milk, 2.7% casein in milk, 36.7% moisture in cheese, 1.7% salt in cheese, 88% fat recovery, and 6.8% whey solids.

Repeatability of cheesemaking

A trial was carried out to determine the repeatability of cheesemaking in the 2 vats of the experimental plant. In order for the plant to be used successfully for comparing milk produced under different conditions, it was imperative that differences between the vats were minimal. Cheese was made on 10 separate days from different milk. On each day, 2 vats of cheese were made simultaneously in the experimental plant from the same milk. The cheesemaking parameters and characteristics of the cheeses made in the 2 vats during the trial were compared statistically.

Feeding trial

Sixteen pairs of Holstein-Friesian cows were used in a 2 × 2 factorial experiment (2 feeding systems and 2 seasons, autumn and spring) with...
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4 replicates at Mudzapilly Research Station, south-east Queensland. The tropical pastures system (M1) consisted of 10.24 ha (2.11 ha oats and 8.13 ha Rhodes grass) with a stocking rate of 1.95 cows/ha. Tropical pasture hay and silage were fed as required. The feedlot (M5) consisted of 4.65 ha (2 ha maize/barley and 2.65 ha lucerne), with an estimated stocking rate of 4.12 cows/ha though these cows were not grazing on paddocks. In addition, cows in both systems received concentrate at about 3 t/cow·year. Concentrate was about 70% barley or sorghum grain, with the balance being molasses, whole cotton seed and cottonseed meal. At the time of milk sampling, green pasture in M1 supplied only about 1 kg dry matter (DM) cow·day as a consequence of drought conditions. The dominant forages used during the trial were silages of forage sorghum and oats for M1 and of maize and lucerne for M5. Total DM, crude protein (CP) kg/cow·day) and ME (MJ/cow·day) intakes were 17.2, 17.8 and 187 for M1 and 24, 4, 260 for M5, respectively (Table 1). Cows were blocked on milk yield and protein content, and paired to minimize differences between cows within a pair. Pairs of cows were then blocked on milk yield and protein content into blocks of 4, and randomly allocated to treatments. All cows calved in early spring.

Milk samples were collected in spring and autumn from M1 and M5 paired cows on 4 occasions (runs). Milk collected from each cow within a pair were composited daily and thoroughly mixed, then sampled. Milk sampling and analysis, cheesemaking, and cheese and whey sampling and analysis were performed as described above.

Table 1. The daily dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and metabolisable energy (ME) intakes of cows in tropical pastures (M1) and feedlot (M5) systems of production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeding system</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Lactation stage</th>
<th>No. of cow pairs</th>
<th>DM intake (kg/cow·day)</th>
<th>CP intake (kg/cow·day)</th>
<th>ME intake (MJ/cow·day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1 Spring</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1 Autumn</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5 Spring</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5 Autumn</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Percentage of milk components recovered and cheese yield (kg/100 kg milk) for Cheddar cheese made in duplicate vats in the repeatability trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vat 1</th>
<th>Vat 2</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>Vat 1</th>
<th>Vat 2</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>Vat 1</th>
<th>Vat 2</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>Vat 1</th>
<th>Vat 2</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>Vat 1</th>
<th>Vat 2</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>Vat 1</th>
<th>Vat 2</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>Vat 1</th>
<th>Vat 2</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curd formation time (min)</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>33.40</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>84.01</td>
<td>84.02</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>93.23</td>
<td>93.13</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>75.70</td>
<td>75.53</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>98.26</td>
<td>98.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75.70</td>
<td>75.53</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>98.26</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>89.50</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>89.50</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>89.50</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whey</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>88.84</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese yield (kg/100 kg milk)</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture adjusted</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental design and statistical analysis

The repeatability trial results were analysed as a randomised block with 2 treatments (vats) and 10 replicates (cheesemaking days). Statistical analysis was performed using the 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure of SAS Institute (1996). The feeding trial was analysed as a 2×2 factorial experiment (2 feeding systems and 2 seasons, autumn and spring) with 4 replicates. Data analysis was performed using SAS version 6.12, using the PROC GLM procedure of SAS Institute (1996).

Results

Repeatability trial

Over the 10 manufacturing days, there were no significant differences between vats on the same day in cheese yield, cheesemaking parameters (e.g. CFT, cooking and cheddaring temperature, curd pH and acidity) and cheese characteristics, however, there were significant differences in CFT, curd pH, acidity and cheese yield among the days of manufacture. Table 2 summarises the key data from the repeatability trial.

Feeding trial

Milk yield was greater for M5 than M1 cows, and the difference was greater in autumn than spring (Fig. 1). Yield
Fig. 1. Mean milk yield (L/cow.day) during spring and autumn for spring calving dairy cows in the M1 feeding system (black bars) and the M5 feeding system (white bars). Vertical bars indicate s.e. Columns with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

The effects of feeding system (FS) and season (S) on milk yield are shown in Table 3. Although there were several significant differences between seasons, only the protein and casein contents differed significantly ($P < 0.01$) between the feeding systems. Autumn milk contained more casein than spring milk. The proportions of casein fractions in total casein were not affected by either feeding system or season. Cheddar cheese yield was higher in autumn than spring ($P < 0.01$), whereas cheese yield was similar ($P > 0.05$) for the 2 feeding systems, but different for the 2 seasons ($P < 0.01$).

Cheese yield was linearly related to the fat plus casein content of milk by the following equation:

$$
\text{Cheese yield} = 1.53 \pm 0.638 \text{ milk fat %} + 2.18 \\
\pm 0.921 \text{ milk casein %} - 0.977 \pm 1.183 \\
(R^2 = 0.66).
$$

Cheese yield was linearly related to the fat plus casein content of milk by the following equation:

The feeding system used had no significant effect on cheese composition.

**Discussion**

The repeatability trial demonstrated the reliability (the coefficient of the multiple correlation value for vats 1 and 2 cheese yields was 0.98) of the experimental cheesemaking methodology and thereby validated its use in the subsequent feeding systems trial. The yields of Cheddar cheese obtained were similar to those reported by Houlihan et al. (2001) for milk from the same region. However, the moisture-adjusted yield (calculated on 370 g moisture/kg) of 11.13 kg/100 L was higher than that reported by Auldist et al. (2001), for cheese made from Friesian milk (10.7 kg/100 L) and lower than the value for Jersey milk (11.6 kg/100 L). Fat recovery in the cheese (84%) was slightly lower than previously reported for several kinds of cheese including Cheddar (85–93%) (Banks and Tamime 1987; Phelan 1981; Posthumus et al. 1964),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total solids</th>
<th>Fat</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>True protein</th>
<th>Total casein</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Pi</th>
<th>Total ash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical pastures (M1) feeding system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s.e.</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>$P &lt; 0.01$</th>
<th>$P &lt; 0.05$</th>
<th>$P &lt; 0.01$</th>
<th>$P &lt; 0.01$</th>
<th>n.s.</th>
<th>n.s.</th>
<th>n.s.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.01$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.01$</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S x FS</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.s., not significant.
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Table 4. Effects of feeding system (FS) and season (S) on casein and casein fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Casein</th>
<th>Concentration in milk (%)</th>
<th>Proportion of total casein (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>αs1-casein</td>
<td>αs2-casein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical pastures (M1) feeding system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedlot (M5) feeding system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.e.</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance
- S: P < 0.01, P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.01, n.s.
- FS: P < 0.01, P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.01, n.s.
- S × FS: n.s.

Table 5. Effects of feeding system (FS) and season (S) on cheese yield, fat and protein recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cheese yield (kg cheese/100 kg milk)</th>
<th>Adjusted yield (%)</th>
<th>Yield efficiency (adjusted) (%)</th>
<th>Milk components recovered (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual†</td>
<td>Theoretical‡</td>
<td>M, SC, C, F</td>
<td>M, SC, C, F, Rf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>11.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn 12.74</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>11.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance
- S: P < 0.05, P < 0.05, P < 0.01, n.s., n.s.
- FS: n.s., n.s., n.s., n.s., n.s., n.s.
- S × FS: n.s., n.s., n.s., n.s., n.s., n.s.

In the feeding trial, the average milk yield per cow per day was greater for M5 cows than M1 cows. Casein concentration in the M5 milk was also higher than in the M1 milk (by 2.7 g/kg), a result associated with higher energy (by 28%) and protein intake (by 35%) by the M5 cows. This result suggests an increase in ME intake through the use of high quality forages contributes to an increase in the production of volatile fatty acids (acetate and butyrate), ammonia and microbial CP, whereas the grain supplement is likely to contribute to an increase in propionate levels, microbial synthesis and the level of rumen ammonia, which overall increase the supply of precursors for milk protein synthesis. Previous studies have attributed improvement in the characteristics of milk for cheesemaking to increased protein or casein concentration (Grandison et al. 1984; O’Keeffe et al. 1984; Vertes et al. 1989). Our results show a high
correlation \((r = 0.81)\) between milk fat plus casein and cheese yields. On average, for a 0.1% increase in the total casein concentration, moisture-salt adjusted Cheddar cheese yield increased by 0.14 kg/100 kg milk. This increase in cheese yield with casein level was less than that reported by Guinee et al. (2001) in which an approximate increase in milk casein of 0.1% resulted in an increase in moisture-salt adjusted Cheddar cheese yield of 0.5 kg/100 kg milk. Differences in casein levels among M1 and M5 milk, when proportions of casein fractions in total casein were similar, were not reflected in different cheese yields. Although the differences in forage quality were reflected in milk and protein yields, they have not resulted in reduced cheese yields with current experiment.

Christian et al. (1999a) reported changes in the concentrations of \(\alpha_{s1-}\) and \(\alpha_{s2-}\)-caseins in milk from cows fed different types of energy and protein supplements in conjunction with a control diet of silage and pasture hay (40% silage and 60% pasture hay). A significant increase in \(\alpha_{s1-}\) and decline in \(\alpha_{s2-}\)-caseins was seen when cows were fed a lupin-wheat concentrate supplement, which increased the energy concentration of the diet by 26% and total ME intake by 54%. The proportions of casein fractions appeared to be unaffected by changes in diet.

Mackle et al. (1999) demonstrated that cows fed pasture plus maize grain and pasture silage produced milk with significantly higher \(\beta\)-casein levels than cows fed pasture plus maize grain only, though the proportions did not change. Similarly, Coulou et al. (2001) found no significant effects of feeding 3 levels of protein and energy on proportions of casein fractions in total protein. Further research is needed to determine if the effects of the cow’s diet quality and its impact on cheese yield are mediated through changes to the proportions of casein fractions in total casein.

The similarity in cheesemaking properties observed in milk from the 2 feeding systems may be due to similar milk total solids and proportions (of the total casein) of the different casein fractions. In particular, there was no significant difference in the percentages of \(\kappa\)-casein, the casein that has a major influence on micelle stability (Dalgleish 1993) and hence cheesemaking.

Cows in late lactation (autumn milk) in both treatments gave milk yielding the most cheese (2.5 \(\pm\) 0.457 kg more cheese/100 kg milk) than cows in early lactation (spring milk). Cows in late lactation produced lower yields of milk with higher concentrations of fat, true protein and casein (Table 3). This was governed by the stage of lactation trend in milk protein and casein concentration. Generally, milk protein and casein concentration are higher at the beginning of lactation in the colostrums, which contains a large amount of immunoglobulin, followed by a significant decrease over the first week of lactation. Milk protein and casein concentration decline further to a minimum after about 6 weeks of lactation and during the next 12 weeks, increase until the end of lactation (Holmes et al. 2002). This finding is consistent with results previously reported by Kefford et al. (1995). Stage of lactation associated with season, also had a significant effect on cheese moisture. By contrast, autumn milk in the present experiment was superior to spring milk for cheese yield and quality, whereas autumn milk was inferior to spring milk in temperate Australia (Kefford et al. 1995).

The seasonal impact on cheese yield was related to a consistent trend of higher fat and protein recovery. However, the yield differences were eliminated when cheese yields were

### Table 6. Effects of feeding system (FS) and season (S) on cheese composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moisture (g/kg)</th>
<th>Fat (g/kg)</th>
<th>Fat (g/kg)</th>
<th>Moisture in fat free solids (g/kg)</th>
<th>Protein (g/kg)</th>
<th>Protein to casein ratio</th>
<th>Salt (g/kg)</th>
<th>Salt (g/kg moisture)</th>
<th>Total ash (g/kg)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Soluble N (%A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cheese composition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical pastures (M1) feeding system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedlot (M5) feeding system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS (\times) S</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(A\) Soluble nitrogen was determined by 12% TCA and is presented as a percentage of total nitrogen.
adjusted to targeted values for the experiment means. Actual and adjusted cheese yields and composition were similar for the 2 feeding systems, indicating that the processability characteristics were similar. Furthermore, day 1 soluble N, which is mainly owing to plasmin and possibly residual or reactivated chymosin in cooked cheese (Candiotti et al. 2002) and secondary proteolysis, was similar (P > 0.05) for M1 and M5 cheeses. There was a higher level of peptides in 90-day-old cheeses, but no differences between cheeses from the various treatments. All the cheeses had a moderate aroma and flavor, Cheddar characteristic color, appropriate salty taste and smooth texture, and satisfactory overall quality. This suggests that cows using tropical pasture feeding systems with high-energy concentrate inputs can produce milk suitable for Cheddar cheese manufacture.

Milk and Cheddar cheese yield and composition were significantly influenced by seasonality, which in this case was very closely associated with the stage of lactation. Milk from cows in autumn (late lactation) had higher levels of fat and protein and produced significantly more cheese per volume of milk than that in spring (early lactation). The 2 feeding systems had a significant effect on milk yields, and protein and casein percentage; cows in the M5 system (feedlot) produced higher yields and higher protein levels. However, the milk from the 2 systems gave the same yields of cheese per 100 kg milk. Thus, cows in a feeding system using tropical pastures, with substantial concentrate input, produced milk suitable for Cheddar cheese manufacture.
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