*Animal Production Science*, 2019, **59**, 160–168 https://doi.org/10.1071/AN17310

# **Simultaneous measurements of ammonia volatilisation and deposition at a beef feedlot**

*M. R. Redding*<sup>A,C</sup>, *R. Lewis*<sup>A</sup> and *P. R. Shorten*<sup>B</sup>

<sup>A</sup>AgriScience Queensland, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, PO Box 102, Toowoomba, Qld 4350, Australia.

<sup>B</sup>AgResearch Limited, Ruakura Research Centre, Private Bag 3123, Hamilton 3214, New Zealand.

<sup>C</sup>Corresponding author. Email: [matthew.redding@daf.qld.gov.au](mailto:matthew.redding@daf.qld.gov.au)

**Abstract.** The nitrogen (N) excreted at intensive livestock operations is vulnerable to volatilisation, and, subsequently, may form a source of indirect nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$  emissions. The present study simultaneously investigated volatilisation and deposition of N at a beef feedlot, semi-continuously over a 129-day period. These data were examined relative to pen manure parameters, management statistics and emission-inventory calculation protocols. Volatilisation measurements were conducted using a single, heated air-sampling inlet, centrally located in a feedlot pen area, with real time concentration analysis via cavity ring-down spectroscopy and backward Lagrangian stochastic (bLS) modelling. Net deposited mineral-N was determined via two transects of soil-deposition traps, with samples collected and re-deployed every 2 weeks. Total volatilised ammonia amounted to 210 tonnes of NH<sub>3</sub>-N (127 g/animal.day), suggesting that the inventory volatilisation factor probably underestimated volatilisation in this case (inventory, 30% of excreted N; 65 g N volatilised/animal.day; a value of  $~60\%$  of excreted N is indicated). Temperature contrast between the manure and air was observed to play a significant role in the rate of emission ( $R^2 = 0.38$ ; 0.46 Kendall's tau;  $P < 0.05$ ). Net deposition within 600 m of the pen boundary represented only 1.7% to 3% of volatilised NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, between 3.6 and 6.7 tonnes N. Beyond this distance, deposition approached background rates (~0.4 kg N/ha.year).

**Additional keywords:** manure, micrometeorology.

Received 12 May 2017, accepted 24 August 2017, published online 7 December 2017

## **Introduction**

A wide range of human activities result in ammonia volatilisation and are therefore regarded as indirect sources of greenhouse-gas (GHG) emissions (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [2006\)](#page-7-0). These activities include animal production (intensive and extensive), sewage treatment, and manure or inorganic-fertiliser application to land. About 65% of atmospheric ammonia (NH3) originates from livestock manure (National Research Council [2002\)](#page-7-0). When beef cattle are involved, much of this  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  is derived via the hydrolysis of the urea in urine, the N form that makes up ~50% of the N excreted (Mosier *et al.* [1973\)](#page-7-0).

Estimates of losses of excreted N from feedlot cattle via  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ volatilisation vary widely. An early estimate suggested losses of ~50% due to runoff, volatilisation and denitrification before manure removal from the pen (Eghball and Power [1994](#page-7-0)). More recent measurement of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  volatilisation from an Australian feedlot calculated that losses amounted to  $~60\%$  of N excreted (Denmead *et al.* [2008](#page-7-0)), and greater than 90% in another study at the same location (Loh *et al.* [2008](#page-7-0); both >60% of dietary N). Measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> volatilisation from a United States feedlot (Texas) indicated losses of ~65% (Flesch *et al*. [2007](#page-7-0)), and 19–85% of dietary N (Todd *et al*. [2011](#page-8-0)).

Limited flux data from intensive livestock-production systems is available. An early study of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  volatilisation from beef feedlots focussed on air concentrations of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , from immediately adjacent to beef feedlots to up to a kilometre distant (McGinn *et al.* [2003\)](#page-7-0). One study of a Texan beef feedlot found annual NH<sub>3</sub> volatilisation of  $\sim$ 19.3 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per head (39 days of measurement spread throughout 3 years; Todd *et al.* [2008](#page-8-0)*a*). Volatilisation from a southern Alberta feedlot was observed to be ~89 kg NH3 per head per year (Staebler *et al.* [2009\)](#page-8-0) or 53 kg per head per year (McGinn *et al.* [2007](#page-7-0)). A studyinvolving steers undergoing backgrounding and finishing on varied diets at a Canadian feedlot observed  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  volatilisation of 3.5–62.8 g  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  per head per day (annualised to 1.6–28 kg NH<sub>3</sub> per head per year; Koenig *et al.* [2013\)](#page-7-0). A recent 8-day campaign in Victoria (Australia) observed higher rates of volatilisation, equivalent to ~43 kg NH3 per head per year (Bai *et al.* [2015\)](#page-7-0).

Ultimately, much of the volatilised  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  is deposited from the atmosphere via two processes, namely wet and dry deposition. Wet deposition occurs via in-cloud processes (rain clouds) or through wash out of the atmosphere via rain, and subsequent deposition to the land surface and the surfaces of plants. Dry deposition occurs where there are low land-surface  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ concentrations relative to those of the air (forming a gradient), the process representing the opposite process to volatilisation (Asman [1998](#page-7-0)). The relative importance of these processes appears clear (Krupa [2003](#page-7-0)): wet deposition dominates where atmospheric

<span id="page-1-0"></span>concentrations are low, and dry deposition dominates where these concentrations are high, e.g. close to a major source of contamination.

Several published studies have suggested that some  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ deposition occurs close to the source, whereas much of the volatilised NH<sub>3</sub> is advected away. As little as 10% of volatilised  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  was deposited (dry deposition) within 4 km of the source in one study (Staebler *et al.* [2009](#page-8-0)). A preceding study found that only  $3-10\%$  of volatilised NH<sub>3</sub> from a poultry shed was deposited within 300 m of the source (Fowler *et al.* [1998](#page-7-0)). In these scenarios, the advected, possibly dilute, plume of dispersing  $NH_3$  may be re-deposited to the wider landscape. Similarly, a recent publication suggests that  $\sim 8\%$  of estimated volatilisation was deposited within 1 km of an Australian beef feedlot (Shen *et al.* [2016](#page-8-0)). Shen *et al*. [\(2016](#page-8-0)) based the magnitude of source NH3 volatilisation on a previous 8-day measurement of pen NH3 volatilisation and a 10-day measurement of stockpile NH3-volatilisation measurements from a beef feedlot (Bai *et al.* [2015\)](#page-7-0). To our knowledge, simultaneous measurement of volatilisation and deposition has been conducted only once previously from a beef feedlot (McGinn *et al.* [2016\)](#page-7-0). These authors found that  $\sim$ 14% of emitted NH<sub>3</sub> was deposited within 500 m of an Alberta (Canada) feedlot. Another study used ongoing NH3-volatilisation measurements via open-path laser and three flights of an airborne analyser through the plume to calculate estimates of both volatilisation fluxes and dry deposition (Staebler *et al.* [2009](#page-8-0)), finding that ~90% of feedlot-emitted NH3 was advected away.

However, close to the source, the rates of deposition can be high. Within 0–700 m of various volatilisation sources, deposition rates of up to 254 kg N per ha have been observed (Berendse *et al.* [1988](#page-7-0); McGinn *et al.* [2003](#page-7-0); Todd *et al.* [2008](#page-8-0)*a*; Staebler *et al.* [2009](#page-8-0)).

The relationship between deposition to the soil and volatilisationflux estimates is unclear, as simultaneous volatilisation and deposition measurements are rare in the literature. Our study differed from those summarised here, in that deposition to the landscape surrounding the feedlot and volatilisation from a human activity (intensive beef cattle management at a feedlot) were simultaneously measured for a moderately long period (continuous for 129 days, more than 50% longer than the measurement period employed by McGinn *et al*. [2016](#page-7-0)). Additionally, these deposition measurements are collected in a southern hemisphere environment (Queensland, Australia). In this regard, our study appears to be unique. Our hypothesis was that only a small proportion of volatilised NH<sub>3</sub> from a feedlot source is deposited in close proximity to its boundaries, and that volatilisation losses are in agreement with recent measurements  $(50-90+)$ % of excreted N) rather than the inventory estimate (30% of excreted N; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [2006\)](#page-7-0).

## **Materials and methods**

### *Site selection*

Measurements were conducted at an Australian feedlot, located on the Darling Downs in Queensland. This location has an average summer-dominated rainfall of 634 mm, and an annual average temperature of 25 C. Cattle on-feed were recorded daily

for the study period (a short trial period from 1 February 2013 to 2 March 2013 and a longer campaign from 12 February 2014 to 17 June 2014) by the operators and the data were made available for the purposes of the present study (average 12 779, minimum 10 201, maximum 15 373 cattle; average cattle mass 430 kg). Feed was delivered in the morning and was available throughout the day. Livestock were fed a total mixed ration, consisting primarily of sorghum, forage and white fluffy cotton seed, together with additives. The formulated crude protein of the ration averaged 9.6%.

The enterprise is sited on a uniform self-mulching expanding clay soil, typical of the highly productive broadacre cropping areas of the Darling Downs (Vertisol soil, Soil Survey Staff [1998](#page-8-0); or Black Vertosol, Isbell [2002](#page-7-0)).

## *Site instrumentation and calculated manure condition*

Instrumentation was largely located in a clear area among the pens themselves (Fig. 1), and within 60 m of the calculated centroid of the pen area. Wind data were monitored using a sonic anemometer (CSAT 3d, Campbell Scientific; [https://](https://www.campbellsci.com.au/csat3) [www.campbellsci.com.au/csat3,](https://www.campbellsci.com.au/csat3) accessed October 2017; 1.5 m height), air temperature logged from a shielded probe (HMP45 C, Vaisala; [www.vaisala.com,](www.vaisala.com) accessed October 2017), acoustic air temperature was provided via the sonic anemometer (Flesch *et al*. [2007\)](#page-7-0), and rainfall was recorded via a tipping bucket rain gauge ([odysseydatarecording.com/,](odysseydatarecording.com/) accessed October 2017). Additional data for the site were reported in another study conducted at the site over an overlapping period where manure emissions were measured and modelled (Redding *et al.* [2015\)](#page-7-0). The present study also refers to publicly available



**Fig. 1.** Diagram of feedlot-site layout, the location of deposition traps, and the sampler intake ('O'). The intake, sonic anemometer, temperature, and rainfall monitoring equipment were located close to the anemometer, within 60 m of the centroid of the pen area  $(+)$ . The windrose for the period is included as an inset, while the shaded portion is the pen area.

air temperature, rainfall, humidity and evapo-transpiration data for the site (<www.bom.gov.au>, accessed October 2017).

## *Ammonia sampling and measurement*

Afiltered-air sampling intake (Mykrolis cartridge filter, catalogue number WGFG21KP3; [www.entegris.com,](www.entegris.com) accessed October 2017) was located at the height of the top of the pen rail (1.5 m) within 60 m of the centroid of the total pen area (shaded portions, Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). A stainless-steel sample line led 10 m to the instrument enclosure. Nickel–chromium wire was coiled around this stainless-steel intake line (with an outer layer of domestic pipe lagging), and the air stream temperature was maintained at 60 C via controlled 24 V current (novusautomation.co.uk; accessed October 2017), so as to decrease sorption of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  to the walls of the sampling tube.

Sample air was drawn into the intake at a flow rate of 2.5 L/min (flow rates controlled by Alicat MC series 10-L capacity; [www.](www.alicat.com) [alicat.com](www.alicat.com); gas volumes standardised to 101.325 kPa and 25 C), via a diaphragm vacuum pump (12 V KNF; [www.knf.com\)](www.knf.com). This flow rate produced a sample residence time in the 4-mm (internal diameter) tubing of  $\sim$ 3 s.

Analyses for NH3 were conducted using a Cavity Ring Down Spectrophotometer (Picarro Model 2130;<www.picarro.com>, accessed October 2017; rolling 30-s averages).

The backward Lagrangian stochastic (bLs) technique was applied to determine the flux of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  (Flesch and Wilson [2005\)](#page-7-0), using the data from the intake and the sonic anemometer, in conjunction with the Windtrax model (Crenna *et al.* [2008](#page-7-0)). Micrometeorological flux calculations were conducted using the half-hourly gas analyses and wind statistics.

Standard NH<sub>3</sub> gas releases of four concentrations  $\approx 0.005$ , 1.30, 14.0, 19.0 ml NH<sub>3</sub> gas per m<sup>3</sup> of air, equivalent to  $\lt 5$ , 1300, 14 000, and 19 000 ppb respectively; concentrations determined by instrument-grade air and permeation tubes available) were used to determine what lag correction was required to account for tube transit time.

Standard rejection criteria (Flesch *et al.* [2005,](#page-7-0) [2007;](#page-7-0) Loh *et al.* [2008\)](#page-7-0) were applied, where the friction velocity (*u*\*) fell below 0.15 m/s, the Obukhov length (*L*) was between +10 and –10 m, and where the estimated roughness height exceeded the sampler height. Data with inappropriate wind directions for the intake layout were removed from the dataset, which generally removed Windtrax-calculated emission estimates with high standard deviations.

## *Deposition traps*

Net deposition (deposition less re-volatilisation) to the soil was investigated via soil traps, using a method similar to that described previously (McGinn *et al.* [2003\)](#page-7-0), although without a rain shelter. These low-profile traps were designed to have a minimal influence on net deposition conditions relative to their surrounds and contained the same soil type as the surrounding area.

Polypropylene lids with an internal 0.0478-m radius were used as a soil reservoir (affixed with glue to a ceramic tile to provide stability in the field).

A mass of 15 kg of soil was collected from adjacent to the western 601-m transect site (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0), from the surface to a depth

of 10 mm. This soil was sieved to pass a 2-mm-diameter aperture, but was retained in a field-moist state at room temperature in a well aerated container.

During the continuous  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  volatilisation monitoring period ( $\sim$ 5 months), seven trap deployments (each of  $\sim$ 3 weeks) were conducted. Where rainfall occurred, the deployment period was curtailed and the traps were collected within 18 h. A mass of 62 g (oven-dry equivalent, but in the moist condition described) was deployed in each deposition trap, into the field. Two traps were placed at each of the western and southern transect sites, an additional three at the background site, and a further sample was placed in a jar in the laboratory and maintained at 25 C for the duration of the deployment (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). A sample of the soil deployed to the field was also retained in a sealed vial and analysed at the same time as the samples recovered from the field.

The location of deposition traps at the site (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) was restricted by the normal operation of this feedlot enterprise. Sites were selected to allow representation of both the dominant wind direction, and a wind direction representative of a less common orientation. Trap sites were maintained in a bare fallow state.

At the end of each deployment, the soil samples were recovered from the deposition trap, and immediately bottled in the field. The samples were stored frozen in the laboratory until analysis via 2 M KCl extraction, followed by colourimetric analysis (Method 7C2, Rayment and Lyons [2011\)](#page-7-0) for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and  $NO_3$ <sup>-</sup> $N + NO_2$ <sup>-</sup>-N. These values were summed to give a total mineral-N concentration of the material. The mineral-N sum less mineral-N concentration changes in the three background sitedeposition traps was used to calculate  $NH<sub>3</sub>-N$  deposition for the deployment period.

## *Statistical analyses*

Summary statistics were prepared using Student's *t*-tests and ANOVA (all applied using R; R Development Core Team [2014](#page-7-0)). Probability distributions of deposition-trap data were compared with probability distributions of background deposition-trap data using the fitdist function of the fitdistrplus package in R, and the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was applied to determine whether sample distributions differed significantly.

### **Results and discussion**

During the measurement period, the dominant wind direction at the site was from east south-east (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Mean wind speeds were  $\sim$ 1.6 m/s, and rainfall during the period was 204 mm. The average temperature for the study period was 24.0 C, close to the average annual temperature (25 C), and the average temperature difference between the manure and air was 5 C.

Measurements of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  were conducted at the background site well removed from the feedlot (1.8 km from the feedlot) and where wind directions did not originate from the feedlot. The median background  $NH_3$  concentrations were  $\sim 0.007$  mL  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  m<sup>3</sup> of air, which were within the range reported previously  $(0.004 - 0.010 \text{ mL NH}_3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ of air}$ ; Denmead *et al.* [2008](#page-7-0)), and <1% of the intercepted air concentrations at the air intake at the feedlot (0.240–4.50 mL NH<sub>3</sub> m<sup>3</sup> of air). With this contrast between the background and feedlot  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations, there

<span id="page-3-0"></span>is little risk of error in background determination leading to significant errors in emission estimates.

## *Ammonia volatilisation*

Total NH<sub>3</sub> volatilised from the operation during the period amounted to  $210$  tonnes of  $NH<sub>3</sub>-N$  during the study period (Table 1). It is apparent that a higher proportion of volatilisation than suggested by the inventory protocols may be appropriate in this case. This has also been the observation of other studies conducted on this subject (Denmead *et al.* [2008;](#page-7-0) Loh *et al.* [2008](#page-7-0)).

The inventory calculations assume that only 30% of excreted N becomes volatilised, using a 430-kg average animal (as indicated by feedlot production statistics), and on the basis of their emission factors (68 g per animal per day for feedlot beef cattle, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [2006;](#page-7-0) or 65 g per animal per day, Department of Environment [2014](#page-7-0)). Mean measured volatilisation during the 129-day period was equivalent to 127 g per animal per day (mean of half-hourly measurements; lower and upper 95% confidence limits were 16 and 289 g per animal per day). This value differs somewhat from those of the 2-week long survey in Queensland under warmer conditions (253 g per animal per day) by Loh *et al*. [\(2008](#page-7-0)) , but is greater than measurements from the same site for a 2-week winter period (46 g per animal per day, Denmead *et al.* [2008\)](#page-7-0).

Measured volatilisation was about twice the inventory estimates (Department of Environment [2014;](#page-7-0) Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [2006;](#page-7-0) Table 1). Assuming that the two inventory protocols were otherwise accurate, our measurements suggested that a volatilisation factor of 60%, rather than 30%, may be more appropriate for calculations in this case.

As expected, a diurnal pattern of volatilisation was evident (Fig. 2*[a](#page-4-0)*; Bai *et al.* [2015\)](#page-7-0), and a correlation relationship between wind-friction velocity and NH<sub>3</sub> volatilisation was observed (linear regression  $R^2 = 0.23$ ; Kendall's tau = 0.36;  $P < 0.05$ ; Table [2](#page-4-0)).

Temperature appears to be a significant driver of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ volatilisation. Significant correlations were observed between total NH<sub>3</sub> volatilisation from the feedlot and manure temperature (measured at 5-mm depth; linear regression  $R^2 = 0.2$ ; Kendall's tau =  $0.36$ ;  $P < 0.05$ ; Table [2](#page-4-0)). This suggests minor temperature-controlled effects on the equilibrium between  $NH_4^+$  and dissolved NH<sub>3</sub> in the manure, as well as the equilibrium between dissolved and gaseous NH3. A relationship between total  $NH_3$  volatilisation per second from the feedlot and air temperature was also supported by a weak significant correlation between these factors (linear regression  $R^2 = 0.06$ ; Kendall's tau =  $0.16$ ;  $P < 0.05$ ; Fig. [2](#page-4-0)*b*, Table [2\)](#page-4-0). Other researchers have found that NH<sub>3</sub> volatilisation is sensitive to air-temperature relationships by using the DeNitrification– DeComposition model (DNDC) to represent beef feedlot volatilisation data (Waldrip *et al.* [2013](#page-8-0)).

A stronger relationship was observed by comparing the difference in temperature between manure (at 5-mm depth) and air (driven by solar radiation; consistent with Sommer and Olesen  $2000$ ) and NH<sub>3</sub> volatilisation (linear regression  $R^2 = 0.38$  $R^2 = 0.38$  $R^2 = 0.38$ ; Kendall's tau = 0.46; *P* < 0.05; Fig. 2*c*, Table 2). This relationship is consistent with the temperature effect on the Richardson number and stability correction factor (Sommer *et al.* [2003](#page-8-0)). This highlights the important role of buoyancydriven convection on NH3 volatilisation, which is dependent on temperature gradients at the manure surface.

None of the other correlation relationships investigated with volatilisation of NH3 were significant (cattle numbers, modelled manure moisture, or daily change in manure moisture). Cattle numbers in the immediate pen were significantly, but weakly correlated with measured air concentration of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  (Kendall's tau =  $0.21$  $0.21$  $0.21$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Table 2). However, atmospheric transport factors have effectively obscured the relationship between emissions and cattle numbers.

The non-linear model of Sommer and Olesen [\(2000](#page-8-0); also Sommer *et al.* [2003](#page-8-0)), which utilises this temperature-difference relationship, may be applicable in generalising from one site to another for the effect of temperature on  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  emission where the environmental and physical factors may fall outside of those at this site. This could be the case, for example, where wind speed, friction velocity and other factors determine the slope and shape of the temperature-difference relationship with emission.

The feedlot layout used in bLs modelling (using Windtrax; Crenna *et al.* [2008\)](#page-7-0) is very similar to that applied by other authors using a single sample intake (Denmead *et al.* [2008](#page-7-0)). When using the bLs technique with this layout, more distant

## **Table 1. Cumulative mineral-N data, both for deposition traps and total volatilisation from the feedlot (both measured and two inventory calculations)**

The following two inventory protocols were applied and volatilisation estimates (N intake to volatilisation) are included for comparison: the Australia inventory estimate (Department of Environment [2014\)](#page-7-0), and the IPCC estimate (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [2006](#page-7-0); 430-kg average cattle weight was used). The deposition entries represent an estimate of total deposition if the pattern represented by the transect was rotated around the entire boundary of the pen area



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.** Ammonia (NH3) volatilisation flux is related to temperature: (*a*) daily variation in volatilisation; the central line represents the mean, while the two outer lines represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; (*b*) NH<sub>3</sub> volatilisation versus air temperature, with a linear regression fitted; (*c*) the effect of the difference in temperature between manure (at 5 mm depth) and air on NH<sub>3</sub> emission (plotted as [temperature manure – temperature air] versus NH<sub>3</sub> emission;  $R^2 = 0.38$ ; regression line plotted).





emission sources contribute far less to the emission estimate than do the sources immediately adjacent to the sample intake. As noted previously (Denmead *et al.* [2008](#page-7-0)), while there were ponds and manure piles at the western outer bound of the pen area, these distant and dominantly down-wind sources have little influence on the measured emissions, as few of the simulated touchdowns were within these regions. Re-running the Windtrax model with a layout that included the pond area as part of the emission source had no significant  $(P > 0.05)$  effect on the emission flux.

## *Net nitrogen deposition*

Cumulative net deposition (deposition less re-volatilisation) amounts to 6.7 and 3.6 tonnes N along the western and southern transects (respectively), with deposition approaching background rates at the maximum distances from the pens (deposition not significantly greater than background,  $P > 0.20$ ; Figs [3](#page-5-0), [4;](#page-5-0) maximum distance from pens  $\sim 600$  m). At the approximate midpoints of the deposition-trap transects (251 m for southern and 314 for western), net deposition across all deployments tended to be greater than background  $(P =$ 0.065). These results are also consistent with recent airborne measurements that indicated a rapid dilution of plume  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ concentrations as distance increased from the feedlot (0.470 mL NH<sub>3</sub> m<sup>3</sup> of air at 500 m to 0.022 mL NH<sub>3</sub> m<sup>3</sup> of air at 5100 m; 35 m above ground level; Hacker *et al.* [2016\)](#page-7-0).

There was a strong contrast for each of the deployment periods between the soil concentrations of the more distant traps (background, western 601 m, southern 518 m;  $42.8 \pm 22.8$  mg mineral N per kg of soil) and closer traps ( $P < 0.001$ ; from background to 766 mg mineral N per kg of soil; median western:

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Fig. 3.** Net deposition along two transects radiating from the feedlot boundary.



**Fig. 4.** (*a*) Fitting an exponential decay equation to the cumulative net deposition data suggests that deposition has effectively returned to background levels within 600 m of the feedlot boundary. (*b*) Individual measured ammonia (NH3) deposition periods as a function of mean distance from the pen boundary.

33 m sample, 196 mg mineral N per kg of soil; median southern: 0 m, 121 mg mineral N per kg of soil).

There was a moderate but non-significant correlation between net deposition during the seven measurement time periods (summing all traps), and the measured volatilisation of the corresponding period (Kendall's tau =  $0.43$ ,  $P = 0.24$  $P = 0.24$  $P = 0.24$ ; Table 2). This weak relationship is probably to be expected where important factors such as wind directions are not accounted for in this calculation. However, implementation of a deposition model relating NH<sub>3</sub> deposition at a point to the measured volatilisation, wind direction and turbulence characteristics, distance from the pen boundary, and manure and air temperatures (e.g. Asman [1998\)](#page-7-0) may be more successful.

Layout of the deposition-trap transects was strongly dictated by feedlot infrastructure, management of the feedlot, and cropping of the surrounding areas. The western deposition transect was well aligned with the dominant wind direction (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0); however, only three locations were available along this transect (the transect consisted of only 3 traps; available sites defined by farm management). The southern transect was representative of a minor wind direction.

On this basis, it is likely that the western transect provides an upper estimate of net deposition, with evidence of  $\sim 3\%$  of volatilised  $NH_3$  being deposited within 601 m of the feedlot boundary. The lower limit suggested by the southern transect is  $\sim$ 1.7% of volatilised NH<sub>3</sub> being deposited within 518 m of the pen boundary.

The background site delivered consistently low median air concentrations relative to the pen air concentration (Fig. [1](#page-1-0); irrespective of the wind direction, 0.013 mL NH<sub>3</sub> m<sup>3</sup> of air at the background relative to a 0.240–4.500- mL NH<sub>3</sub> m<sup>3</sup> of air range at the pen intake). This suggests that the selected background site appropriately estimates native  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  emissions in this landscape, without influence from the feedlot. For additional confidence, mineral-N analyses of the three deposition traps located at the background site were compared with those of the traps at the western (601 m) and southern (518 m) sites, depending on the dominant wind directions during the period. This comparison was conducted to ensure that measured background values were comparable to or lower than those at these sites.

The measured background net deposition of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  plus soil N mineralisation was  $0.0167 \pm 0.012$  g N/m.day (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation). In reality, almost all of this mineral-N was attributable to soil mineralisation, rather than to background deposition. Analysis of the vials of deposition-trap soil retained in the laboratory at 25 C during each deposition-trap deployment indicated average soil mineralisation of  $0.0166 \pm 0.0088$  g N/m.day (N deposition would therefore be equivalent to  $\sim$ 0.4 kg N/ha.year).

A review of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  deposition rates indicated a range of bulk deposition from 9.2 to 16.8 kg/ha.year, with deposition to plant canopies and grass surfaces of 19.6–95.6 kg/ha.year (Krupa [2003\)](#page-7-0). Such values are likely to be very location specific, and recent data from the United States [\(http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/ntn/](http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/ntn/annualmapsByYear.aspx) [annualmapsByYear.aspx](http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/ntn/annualmapsByYear.aspx)) indicate a much more restricted range of ammonium wet deposition, not dissimilar to that estimated for our site (mean total N deposition for ~264 sites, 2012, was 3.2 kg N/ha.year, with 95% confidence interval of 0.2–7.3 kg N/ha.year).

## *Implications*

A detailed investigation of the soil from this site suggests that where mineral-N is <70 mg per kg of soil, no significant  $N_2O$ emission occurs (Redding *et al.* [2016\)](#page-7-0). None of the depositiontrap samples collected during 5 months from the southern 518-m site and only one sample collected from western 601-m site exceeded 70 mg mineral-N per kg of soil. However, the depth of soil in the deposition traps (10 mm) may not have realistically represented the depth of interaction of deposited NH3. In reality, NH3 may have been restricted to the upper few millimetres of the soil, leading to higher soil concentrations in that shallow zone.

However, several significant observations can be made. First, only a small proportion of the volatilised  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  is deposited within 60[1](#page-3-0) m of the feedlot  $\left($ <3.2%; Table 1). These deposition results are supported by the data of other authors, collected from several locations, where the majority of volatilised N was observed to be advected away (measured <3.2% within 270 m of a poultry farm; Fowler *et al.* [1998;](#page-7-0) <10% within an  $8 \times 8$  km square; Staebler *et al.* [2009\)](#page-8-0). In this zone, application of an emission factor for indirect emissions (e.g. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [2006\)](#page-7-0) is probably reasonably well supported by evidence. However, soil nutrient-management approaches for efficient plant production may be able to greatly decrease indirect emissions. Maximal recorded mineral-N deposition during the measurement period of 5 months (more than a single crop-growth period) was ~100 kg N/ha, which is similar to a commercialfertiliser application rate.

Second, beyond 600 m from the pen boundaries, deposition fluxes appear to return to rates that are a small proportion of seasonal crop or pasture requirements (close to background deposition). In our study, deposition in this external zone was probably  $\sim 0.4$  kg N/ha.year (based on background-site deposition less the soil blank), which may actually not be significantly different from 0 kg N/ha (Fig. [4;](#page-5-0) fitting an exponential decay curve to the data;  $1.24 \pm 0.75$  g N/m over a 129-day period; effectively not significantly different from 0 g N/m<sup>2</sup>). These results are supported by a previous study that found that >500 m from a feedlot, soil N remained at concentrations typical of the surrounding undisturbed shortgrass prairie (Todd *et al.* [2008](#page-8-0)*b*). However, field measurements at the present study site suggested that background fallow (but cultivated) soil mineral-N concentrations are close to the threshold for N2O emission (70 mg mineral-N per kg of soil; Redding *et al.* [2016;](#page-7-0) soil measurements collected in cultivated area adjacent to the background site; Fig. [1;](#page-1-0)  $77 \pm 22$  mg mineral-N per kg of soil), indicating that deposition in these areas will result in  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emission.

The study site was located within a region of intensive grain production, on high-quality agricultural soils. This is the case for many intensive livestock enterprises where grain is an essential feedstock. It is likely that advected mineral-N is deposited to this wider landscape, to soil where mineral-N concentrations are purposely raised through fertiliser applications. This deposition could be considered a manageable fertiliser application with low embodied transport and manufacturing emissions. Where re-deposition coincides with the nutrient uptake of any growing vegetation, these applications are unlikely to remain resident for long (based on sorghum and wheat growth curves estimated via local production data and a logistic curve; <span id="page-7-0"></span>Hunt 1982), meaning that there would be little accumulation potential under these circumstances.

## **Conclusions**

Total NH3 volatilised from the enterprise during the period amounted to 210 tonnes of NH3-N during the study period (127 g per animal per day). It is also apparent that the inventory volatilisation factor (30% of excreted N) underestimates volatilisation, in this case, by a factor of two.

For the same period, net deposition within 600 m of the pen boundary is probably between 3.6 and 6.7 tonnes N (1.7–3.2% of volatilised  $\hat{N}H_4^+$ -N), with deposition approaching background rates at the maximum distances of the deposition traps from the pens (601 m for the western transect; 518 m for the southern transect). Background net deposition was measured at  $\sim 0.4$  kg N/ha.year.

Our study has highlighted the important role of manure–air temperature gradients on emission. The temperature gradient explained  $\sim$ 38% of the variance in the emission rate, whereas a linear model of air temperature on emission explains only 6% of the variance in emission rate.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## **Acknowledgements**

This research was partially funded by Meat and Livestock Australia (B.FLT.0356) and would not have been possible without the co-operation and assistance of the commercial feedlot involved. Thanks go to Dr Stephen Wiedemann for his assistance with ration data and Dr Chris Pratt for his assistance in manuscript preparation. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## **References**

- Asman WAH (1998) Factors influencing local dry deposition of gases with special reference to ammonia. *Atmospheric Environment* **32**, 415–421. doi:[10.1016/S1352-2310\(97\)00166-0](dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00166-0)
- Bai M, Flesch TK, McGinn SM, Chen D (2015) A snapshot of greenhouse gas emissions from a cattle feedlot. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **44**, 1974–1978. doi:[10.2134/jeq2015.06.0278](dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2015.06.0278)
- Berendse F, Laurijsen C, Okkerman P (1988) The acidifying effect of ammonia volatilized from farm-manure on forest soils. *Ecological Bulletins* **39**, 136–138. doi[:10.2307/20113011](dx.doi.org/10.2307/20113011)
- CrennaBP, Flesch TK,Wilson JD (2008)'Windtrax 2.0.8.1.'(Thunder Beach Scientific: Alberta, Canada) Available at [www.thunderbeachscienti](www.thunderbeachscientific.com)fic. [com](www.thunderbeachscientific.com) [Verified October 2017]
- Denmead OT, Chen D, Griffith DWT, Loh ZM, Bai M, Naylor T (2008) Emissions of the indirect greenhouse gases  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  from Australian beef cattle feedlots. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **48**, 213–218. doi:[10.1071/EA07276](dx.doi.org/10.1071/EA07276)
- Department of Environment (2014) National inventory report 2012. Available at [http://www.environment.gov.au/climate-change/greenhouse](www.environment.gov.au/climate-change/greenhouse-gas-measurement/publications/national-inventory-report-2012)[gas-measurement/publications/national-inventory-report-2012](www.environment.gov.au/climate-change/greenhouse-gas-measurement/publications/national-inventory-report-2012) [Verified October 2017]
- Eghball B, Power JF (1994) Beef-cattle feedlot manure management. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* **49**, 113–122.
- Flesch TK, Wilson JD (2005) Estimating trace emissions with a backward Lagrangian stochastic technique. In 'Micrometeorology in agricultural systems'. (Eds MK Viney, JL Hatfield, JM Baker) pp. 513–531. (American Society of Agronomy, Inc.: Madison, WI)
- Flesch TK, Wilson JD, Harper LA, Crenna BP (2005) Estimating gas emissions from a farm with an inverse-dispersion technique. *Atmospheric Environment* **39**, 4863–4874. doi:[10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.032](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.032)
- Flesch TK, Wilson JD, Harper LA, Todd RW, Cole NA (2007) Determining ammonia emissions from a cattle feedlot with an inverse dispersion technique. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **144**, 139–155. doi:[10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.02.006](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.02.006)
- Fowler D, Pitcairn C, Sutton M, Flechard C, Loubet B, Coyle M, Munro R (1998) The mass budget of atmospheric ammonia in woodland within 1 km of livestock buildings. *Environmental Pollution* **102**, 343–348. doi:[10.1016/S0269-7491\(98\)80053-5](dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(98)80053-5)
- Hacker JM, Chen D, Bai M, Ewenz C, Junkermann W, Lieff W, McManus B, Neininger B, Sun J, Coates T, Denmead T, Flesch T, McGinn S, Hill J (2016) Using airborne technology to quantify and apportion emissions of CH4 and NH3 from feedlots. *Animal Production Science* **56**, 190–203. doi:[10.1071/AN15513](dx.doi.org/10.1071/AN15513)
- Hunt R (1982) 'Plant growth curves: the functional approach to plant growth analysis.' (Arnold: London)
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2006) 'IPCC: task force on national greenhouse gas inventories.' Available at [http://www.ipcc](www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/vol4.html)[nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/vol4.html](www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/vol4.html) [Verified October 2017]
- Isbell RF (2002) 'The Australian soil classification.' (CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne)
- Koenig KM, McGinn SM, Beauchemin KA (2013) Ammonia emissions and performance of backgrounding and finishing beef feedlot cattle fed barley-based diets varying in dietary crude protein concentration and rumen degradability. *Journal of Animal Science* **91**, 2278–2294. doi:[10.2527/jas.2012-5651](dx.doi.org/10.2527/jas.2012-5651)
- Krupa SV (2003) Effects of atmospheric ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) on terrestrial vegetation: a review. *Environmental Pollution* **124**, 179–221. doi[:10.1016/](dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(02)00434-7) [S0269-7491\(02\)00434-7](dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(02)00434-7)
- Loh Z, Chen D, Bai M, Naylor T, Griffith D, Hill J, Denmead T, McGinn S, Edis R (2008) Measurement of greenhouse gas emissions from Australian feedlot beef production using open-path spectroscopy and atmospheric dispersion modelling. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **48**, 244–247. doi[:10.1071/EA07244](dx.doi.org/10.1071/EA07244)
- McGinn SM, Janzen HH, Coates T (2003) Atmospheric ammonia, volatile fatty acids, and other odorants near beef feedlots. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **32**, 1173–1182. doi:[10.2134/jeq2003.1173](dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2003.1173)
- McGinn SM, Flesch TK, Crenna BP, Beauchernin KA, Coates T (2007) Quantifying ammonia emissions from a cattle feedlot using a dispersion model. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **36**, 1585–1590. doi:[10.2134/](dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2007.0167) [jeq2007.0167](dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2007.0167)
- McGinn SM, Janzen HH, Coates TW, Beauchemin KA, Flesch TK (2016) Ammonia emission from a beef cattle feedlot and its local dry deposition and re-emission. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **45**, 1178–1185. doi:[10.2134/jeq2016.01.0009](dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2016.01.0009)
- Mosier AR, Andre CE, Viets FG (1973) Identification of aliphatic amines volatilized from cattle feedyard. *Environmental Science & Technology* **7**, 642–644. doi[:10.1021/es60079a009](dx.doi.org/10.1021/es60079a009)
- National Research Council (2002) 'The scientific basis for estimating air emissions from animal feeding operations.' (National Academy Press: Washington, DC)
- R Development Core Team (2014) 'R: a language and environment for statistical computing.' (R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna) Available at [http://www.R-project.org](www.R-project.org) [Verified October 2017]
- Rayment GE, Lyons DJ (2011) 'Soil chemical methods: Australasia.' (CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne)
- Redding MR, Devereux J, Phillips F, Lewis R, Naylor T, Kearton T, Hill CJ, Weidemann S (2015) Field measurement of beef pen manure methane and nitrous oxide reveals a surprise for inventory calculations. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **44**, 720–728. doi:[10.2134/jeq2014.04.0159](dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2014.04.0159)
- Redding MR, Shorten PR, Lewis R, Pratt C, Paungfoo-Lonhienne C, Hill J (2016) Soil N availability, rather than N deposition, controls indirect  $N_2O$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>emissions. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **95**, 288–298. doi:[10.1016/](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.�soilbio.2016.01.002) [j.soilbio.2016.01.002](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.�soilbio.2016.01.002)

- Shen J, Chen D, Bai M, Sun J, Coates T, Lam SK, Li Y (2016) Ammonia deposition in the neighbourhood of an intensive cattle feedlot in Victoria, Australia. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 32793. doi:[10.1038/srep32793](dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep32793)
- Soil Survey Staff (1998) 'Keys to soil taxonomy.' (United Sates Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service:Washington, DC)
- Sommer SG, Olesen JE (2000) Modelling ammonia volatilization from animal slurry applied with trail hoses to cereals. *Atmospheric Environment* **34**, 2361–2372. doi:[10.1016/S1352-2310\(99\)00442-2](dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00442-2)
- Sommer SG, Génermont S, Cellier P, Hutchings NJ, Olesen JE, Morvan T (2003) Processes controlling ammonia emission from livestock slurry in the field. *European Journal of Agronomy* **19**, 465–486. doi:[10.1016/](dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(03)00037-6) [S1161-0301\(03\)00037-6](dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(03)00037-6)
- Staebler RM, McGinn SM, Crenna BP, Flesch TK, Hayden KL, Li S (2009) Three-dimensional characterization of the ammonia plume from a beef

cattle feedlot. *Atmospheric Environment* **43**, 6091–6099. doi:[10.1016/](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.�atmosenv.2009.08.045) i.atmoseny.2009.08.045

- Todd RW, Cole NA, Clark RN, Flesch TK, Harper LA, Baek BH (2008*a*) Ammonia emissions from a beef cattle feedyard on the southern high plains. *Atmospheric Environment* **42**, 6797–6805. doi[:10.1016/j.atmosenv.](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.05.013) [2008.05.013](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.05.013)
- Todd RW, Cole NA, Clark RN, Rice WC, Guo WX (2008*b*) Soil nitrogen distribution and deposition on shortgrass prairie adjacent to a beef cattle feedyard. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **44**, 1099–1102. doi:[10.1007/](dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00374-008-0286-2) [s00374-008-0286-2](dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00374-008-0286-2)
- Todd RW, Cole NA, Rhoades MB, Parker DB, Casey KD (2011) Daily, monthly, seasonal, and annual ammonia emissions from southern high plains cattle feedyards. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **40**, 1090–1095. doi[:10.2134/jeq2010.0307](dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2010.0307)
- Waldrip HM, Todd RW, Li C, Cole NA, Salas WH (2013) Estimation of ammonia emissions from beef cattle feedyards using the process-based model Manure-DNDC. *Transactions of the ASABE* **56**, 1103–1114.