QUEENSLAND DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRIES

DIVISION OF PLANT INDUSTRY BULLETIN No. 753

CONTROL OF AONIDIELLA AURANTII (MASKELL) AND CHRYSOMPHALUS FICUS ASHMEAD ON CITRUS IN SOUTH-EASTERN QUEENSLAND

by D. SMITH, B.Sc.

SUMMARY

Eight organic insecticides and six brands of mineral oil were tested against two major scale insect pests of citrus, Aonidiella aurantii (Maskell) and Chrysomphalus ficus Ashmead. Effective control of both scales was given consistently by methidathion and omethoate and (on the one occasion when used) by $2\cdot5\%$ white oil. Effective control of A. aurantii was also given by promecarb plus white oil (used on two occasions) and azinphos methyl plus white oil (used on one occasion). Dimethoate and parathion gave a lower level of control of A. aurantii. Low strength oil sprays 1% and $1\cdot25\%$ were sufficiently effective against both scales to warrant further testing in an integrated control programme.

I. INTRODUCTION

Red scale (Aonidiella aurantii (Maskell)) and circular black scale (Chrysomphalus ficus Ashmead) are two important scale pests of citrus throughout Queensland. Before 1970, the control method in inland areas was to spray with 1.67% white oil twice in early December, and with 2.5% white oil once in late January if necessary. On late varieties, the third spray could be applied during March–April. On Glen Retreat mandarins, which are prone to injury with oil, single sprays in early December and late January with 1.25% white oil plus parathion 0.015% were used (Manefield 1957).

While oils were preferred to available organic insecticides because of lower toxicity to natural enemies, a heavy oil schedule caused irregular ripening on early varieties picked green-mature for degreening (Smith 1964). Also, oils applied after February caused colour retardation and reduction in fruit size in all citrus varieties. The current work was conducted to screen some of the newer organic insecticides, and also various oil formulations at rates likely to be less phytotoxic.

II. MATERIALS

azinphos methyl—A wettable powder containing 50% w/w active constituent.

carbaryl —A wettable powder containing 80% w/w active constituent.

diazinon —An emulsifiable concentrate containing 80% w/v active con-

stituent.

dimethoate —A wettable powder containing 20% w/w active constituent.

maldison —A wettable powder containing 25% w/w active constituent.

methidathion —An emulsifiable concentrate containing 40% w/v active con-

stituent.

omethoate —Emulsifiable concentrates containing 50% and 80% w/v active

constituent.

parathion —An emulsifiable concentrate containing 50% w/v active con-

stituent.

mineral oil — 'Superior Summer Oil' containing 84% refined oils.

'Volck 70 Supreme Oil' containing 97% refined oils.

'Volck Supreme Oil' containing 98% refined oils.

White oil containing 80% refined oils.

Rd/33/4 and Rd/33/5—formulated by 'Ampol' containing

98% refined oils.

III. METHODS

Trial layouts I to VI were randomized blocks (four replications, trials I, II and V; three replications, trials III, IV and VI) of single tree plots. Sprays were applied with a hand-held lance at a pressure of 1 000 to 1 400 kPa giving complete coverage with 14 to 23 litres of spray per tree. Wetting agent (Agral 60 (R)) was used at the rate of 0.012% of spray where oil was omitted. Materials were tested against A. aurantii in trials I to VI and against C. ficus in trials I and IV. Trial VII was an unreplicated observation on the control of A. aurantii on a block of 97, 12-year-old Late Valencia oranges. Treatments were applied to a row or half-row of trees with a grower's oscillating boom at 2 800 kPa using 361 of spray per tree.

Scale assessments were made on the fruit except for the pretreatment assessments in trial I and a post-treatment assessment in trial IV which were on the foliage and the pretreatment assessment in trials III and VII (also a post-treatment assessment in trial III) which were on the twigs. The usual procedure was to record the number of adult scales or second instar plus adult scales on 40 or 60 fruits per tree. A rating system was used to record the number of immature stages (first plus second instar scales) in trials I and II. This system was zero, 0 scales, one—1 or 2 scales, two—3 to 10 scales; three, 11 to 50 scales; and four, over 50 scales. In post-treatment assessments in trial VII (see Table 7),

TABLE 1

TRIAL I—The Effect of Insecticide Treatments Against A. aurantii on Late Valencia Oranges at Beerwah 1969–70

	At Pre-tre	atment (3 Nov Sample	69) per 20 Leaf	At Post-tre	atment (3 Feb Sample	70) per 40 Fruit	At Post-trea	ay 70) per 40 Fruit		
Treatment 21 Nov 69 and 5 Feb 70	No. of Ad	ult Scales	Ratings of Immature Scales	No. of Ad	No. of Adult Scales Ratings of Immature Scales No. of Adult		No. of Adult Scales		Ratings of Immature Scales	
	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Means	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Means	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Means	
maldison 0·1% white oil 2·5% white oil 1% carbaryl 0·075% promecarb 0·075% azinphos methyl 0·075% omethoate 0·075% no treatment	0·705 0·520a 0·624 0·345a 0·075a 0·151a 0·314a 0·508a 0·464a	4·07 2·31 3·20 1·21 0·19 0·41 1·06 2·22 1·91	7-50a 5-00a 6-50a 3-75a 4-00a 4-00a 7-00a 3-00a 2-00a	1·055a 1·155a 1·191a 1·671 1·298a 1·325a 1·610 0·764a 1·587	10·34 13·28 14·51 45·92 18·44 20·12 39·77 4·80 37·68	33·75a 23·50a 38·00a 75·00 56·50 53·00 48·00 7·25a 72·50	0·358a 0·301a 0·584a 1·805 0·897 1·354 0·783 0·075a 1·316	1·28 1·00 2·83 62·84 6·90 1·59 5·07 0·19 19·72	20·25a 2·25a 12·00a 91·25 39·75 47·00 10·50a 1·50a 84·50	
Necessary differences for \$5% significance \$1%	0·545 0·739		6·01 8·14	0·616 0·834		35·02 47·46	0·607 0·822		30·54 41·39	

^{*} Log $_{10}$ (1 + x) transformation.

^{**} Anti-transformed values.

a—denotes equality with the lowest treatment mean at the 5% level.

500, 1 000 or 2 000 fruit were assessed from each treatment, the number of fruit examined per tree being proportional to the number of trees in the treatment. In trial III, a pretreatment and post-treatment mortality count was made of 20 consecutive adult female scales and 10 randomly selected twigs per tree. The pretreatment counts gave a measure, at trial commencement, of the scale population and of the uniformity of infestation throughout the trial area.

Spray application and assessment times, localities and varieties are given in tables 1 to 9. In most cases, two sprays were applied 8 to 10 weeks apart during the period late November to mid February. A second post-treatment count was not made in trial II because of low scale numbers towards the conclusion of the trial and, accordingly, only the date of the first spray is given. One, two or three sprays were applied in trial VII.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Control of A. Aurantii. Results for control of A. aurantii are given in tables 1 to 7. Methidathion and omethoate gave effective control used at 0.075% (in trials I, II and V) or 0.05% (in trials III, IV, VI and VII). Control by methidathion 0.05% was significantly improved in trials III and VII with the addition of 1% white oil. In trial VII, a single spray of methidathion 0.075% plus 1% white oil gave effective control, but not a single spray of methidathion 0.05% used alone. Effective control was also given by promecarb 0.075% plus 1% white oil (in trial III), promecarb 0.05% plus 1% white oil (in trial III). However, these materials were ineffective when used without the addition of 1% white oil (in trials I, II and VII). Parathion was moderately effective at 0.075% (in trial V) and 0.05% (in trial IV) but unsatisfactory at 0.05% (in trial VII); dimethoate was moderately effective in trial III.

TABLE 2

TRIAL II—THE EFFECT OF INSECTICIDE TREATMENTS AGAINST A. aurantii ON
LATE VALENCIA ORANGES AT PALMWOODS 1969–70

	At Post-treatr	nent (10 Feb., 70) per 40	Fruit Sample
Treatments (22 Dec. 69)	No. of A	Ratings of Immature	
	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Scales Means
white oil 1% white oil 1.7% maldison 0.1% carbaryl 0.075% promecarb 0.075% white oil 1% azinphos methyl 0.075% omethoate 0.075% methidathion 0.075% on treatment	1·442 a 1·300 a 1·639 2·193 2·008 1·300 a 1·886 1·005 a 1·064 a 2·260	26·64 20·09 42·58 155·05 100·76 18·93 75·97 9·11 10·59 181·00	67·00 65·50 78·50 120·25 110·75 62·50 a 95·50 66·25 44·00 a 128·25
Necessary differences 5% for significance 1%	0·582 0·786		20·72 27·98

^{*} Log_{10} (1 + x) transformation.

^{**} Anti-transformed values.

a—denotes equality with the lowest treatment mean at the 5% level.

TABLE 3

TRIAL III—The Effect of Insecticide Treatments Against A. aurantii on Imperial Mandarins at Mundubbera 1970–71

	At Pre-trea	tment (25 No	v 70)	,	At Post-treatm		At Post-treatment (6 Sept 71)		
Treatment 25 Nov 70 and 25 Jan 71	200 Adult Female Sample on 10 Twigs % Living	per 40 Fru No. of 2nd Adult	Instar +	200 Adult Sample of % Li	10 Twigs	per 40 Fru No. of Ad	it Sample ult Scales	per 60 Fr No. of Ac	uit Sample dult Scales
	Means	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Trans. Means***	Equiv. Means**	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**
white oil 1% white oil 1.7% azinphos methyl 0.05% + white oil 1% summer superior oil 1.7% promecarb 0.05% + white oil 1%	33·17a 34·83a 30·33a 29·67a 32·83a 31·33a 31·50a	2·1665 1·9553a 2·0462a 2·1454 2·1754 2·0152a 2·0134a 2·1706 1·9963a 2·1953 2·1212 1·8796a	145·72 89·22 110·23 138·78 148·85 102·56 102·14 147·11 98·15 155·79 131·20 74·79	0·304 0·229 0·053a 0·158a 0·146a 0·047a 0·159a 0·267 0·370 0·115a 0·000a 0·645	8.95 5.14 0.28 2.48 2.12 0.22 2.52 6.98 13.10 1.32 0.00 36.14	1·605 0·845 1·213 0·664 1·353 0·000a 0·301a 0·347a 1·314 0·100a 0·000a 2·369	39·23 5·99 15·33 3·61 21·53 0·00 1·00 1·22 19·63 0·26 0·00 233·02	2·728 1·895 0·892a 1·739 2·401 0·943a 0·778a 1·574 2·584 1·544 0·534a 3·669	533·02 77·54 6·80 53·84 250·78 7·77 5·00 36·49 382·54 33·97 2·42 4 668·49
Necessary differences 5% for significance 1%	7·04 9·57	0·2261 0·3073		0·166 0·226		0·566 0·770		0·798 1·085	

^{*} Log 10 (1 + x) transformation.

^{**} Anti-transformed values.

^{***} Inverse sine transformation.

a—denotes equality with the lowest treatment mean at the 5% level.

TABLE 4

TRIAL IV—THE EFFECT OF INSECTICIDE TREATMENTS AGAINST A. aurantii on Joppa Oranges at Palmwoods 1971–72

Treatment 1 Dec 71 and 15 Feb 72		nt (30 Nov 71) uit Sample ur + Adult Scales	At Post-treatmer per 40 Frui No. of Adu	t Sample	At Post-treatment (6 June 72) per 60 Fruit Sample No. of Adult Scales		
	Trans.	Equiv.	Trans.	Equiv.	Trans.	Equiv.	
	Means*	Means**	Means*	Means**	Means*	Means**	
summer superior oil 1·25%	2·067a	115·78	1·788	60·33	1·295a	18·70	
	1·894a	77·36	1·526	32·56	1·352a	21·51	
	2·139a	136·68	1·562	35·48	1·898	78·10	
	2·003a	99·77	1·406	24·50	0·916a	7·23	
	2·125a	132·35	0·840a	5·91	1·276a	17·88	
	2·112a	128·41	1·131a	12·54	0·731a	4·38	
	1·883a	75·42	0·619a	3·16	0·360a	1·29	
	1·894a	77·36	1·341a	20·94	1·460a	27·86	
	2·265a	183·02	2·421	262·39	1·518a	31·96	
Necessary differences $\begin{cases} 5\% \\ 1\% \end{cases}$	0·393 0·541		0·784 1·080		1·171 1·613	.*	

^{*} Log 10 (1 + x) transformation.

^{**} Anti-transformed values.

a-denotes equality with the lowest treatment mean at the 5% level

TABLE 5

TRIAL V—The Effect of Insecticide Treatments Against A. aurantii on Marsh Seedless Grapefruit at Mundubbera 1971–72

Treatment 7 Dec 71 and 8 Fe	b 72			per 40 Fr	ent (7 Dec 71) uit Sample r + Adult Scales	At Post-treatment (21 Mar 72) per 40 Fruit Sample No. of Adult Scales		
				Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Trans. Means***	Equiv. Means**	
summer superior oil 1.25%				1·826a	65-92	2.330	212-87	
summer superior oil 1.7%				2·008a	100-87	1.809	63-37	
volck 70 oil 1%				2·123a	131.74	1.481	29-24	
volck 70 oil 1·25%				1·568a	36.02	1.312	19.49	
olck supreme oil 1%				2·278a	188-47	1.989	96.56	
olck supreme oil 1.25%				2·181a	150-56	1.489	29.82	
white oil 1.25%				1·887a	76-11	1.662	44.89	
omethoate 0.075%				2·314a	204-99	0·305a	1.02	
nethidathion 0.075%				1·895a	77-47	1.465	28.15	
parathion 0.075%				1·775a	58.61	1.698	48.90	
no treatment		• •		1·968a	91.87	3.547	3 523-42	
Necessary differences for significance	ſ5º	/		0.784		1.007		
•	$\begin{cases} 5 \% \\ 1 \% \end{cases}$	%		1.056		1.357		

^{*} Log 10 (1 + x) transformation.

^{**} Anti-transformed values.

^{***} Convariance analysis—log₁₀ (1+x) transformation.

a—denotes equality with the lowest treatment mean at the 5% level.

TABLE 6

TRIAL VI—THE EFFECT OF INSECTICIDE TREATMENTS AGAINST A. aurantii on Imperial Mandarins at Mundubbera 1971–72

Treatment 7 Dec 71 and 8 Feb 72					At Pre-treatmen per 40 Fr No. of 2nd Inst	nt (7 Dec 71) uit Sample tar + Adult Scales	At Post-treatment (21 Mar 72) per 60 Fruit Sample No. of Adult Scales			
					Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**		
summer superior oil 1·25% summer superior oil 1·7% volck 70 oil 1% volck supreme oil 11% white oil 1·25% omethoate 0·05% methidathion 0·05% no treatment					 1·601a 1·841a 1·255a 1·257a 1·480a 1·591a 1·451a 1·267a	38·86 68·33 16·99 17·06 29·22 38·02 27·24 17·50	1·720 1·967 1·829 1·751 1·309a 1·164a 0·840a 3·074	51·51 91·75 66·40 55·43 19·36 13·57 5·91 1 184·23		
Necessary differences for sig	nificar	nce	$\begin{cases} 5\\1 \end{cases}$	%	 0·589 0·818		0·672 0·933			

^{*} Log₁₀ (1+x) transformation.

^{**} Anti-transformed values.

a—denotes equality with the lowest treatment mean at the 5% level.

TRIAL VII—DEMONSTRATION OF THE EFFECT OF INSECTICIDE TREATMENTS AGAINST A. aurantii on Late Valencia Oranges at Gayndah 1972–73

			At Post-	treatment
Treatment (1) One spray—28 Nov 72 (2) Two sprays—28 Nov 72 and 1 Feb 73 (3) Three sprays—28 Nov 72, 19 Dec 72 and 1 Feb 73	No. of Trees in Treatment	At Pre-treatment (27 Nov 72) (100 Twigs Sampled per Treatment) No. of Adult Scales per Twig	(26 Apr 73) (500 Fruit Sampled per Treatment) No. of Adult Scales per Fruit	(3 Aug 73) ((x) 2000 Fruit Sampled per Treatment— (y) 1000 Fruit (2) 500 Fruit) No. of Adult Scales per Fruit
methidathion 0·05% (1) methidathion 0·05% (2) parathion 0·05% (2) comethoate 0·05% (2) azinphos methyl 0·05% (2) volck 70 oil 1·25% (3) rd/33/5 oil 1·25% (3) methidathion 0·05% + white oil 1% (2) rd/33/4 oil 1·25% (3) methidathion 0·05% + white oil 1% (2) rd/33/4 oil 1·25% (3) white oil 1·7% (3) methidathion 0·075% + white oil 1% (1) no treatment	10 11 11 11 5 6 11 11 7 4 6	3·34 3·04 2·34 3·46 2·07 0·70 2·38 0·50 0·47 0·22 2·87 0·65	1.91 1.45 1.91 0.06 6.50 0.00 0.00 0.12 0.00 0.00 0.68 18.28	1·820x 0·770x 3·555x 0·024x 6·300z 0·000x 0·006x 0·003x 0·000x 0·475y 0·360x 28·780z

		treatment (3 I 20 Leaf Sam			t-treatment (3 40 Fruit Sam		At Post-treatment (20 May 70) per 40 Fruit Sample			
Treatment 21 Nov 69 and 5 Feb 70	No. of Ad	ult Scales	Ratings of Immature Scales	No. of Ad	ult Scales	Ratings of Immature Scales	No. of Adult Scales		Ratings of Immature Scales	
	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Means	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Means	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Means	
maldison 0·1% white oil 2·5% white oil 1% carbaryl 0·075% promecarb 0·075% azinphos methyl 0·075% omethoate 0·075% methidathion 0·075% no treatment	1·703a 1·590a 2·249 1·680a 1·590a 1·549a 1·946a 1·891a 1·845a	49·50 37·91 176·39 46·86 37·88 34·41 87·38 76·85 69·01	23·75a 21·50a 33·25 21·75a 23·50a 20·75a 20·75a 28·25a 21·00a	1·012 0·530a 1·015 1·518 1·170 1·309 0·450a 0·175a 1·499	9·29 2·39 9·36 31·94 13·78 19·35 1·82 0·50 30·56	31·75a 14·25a 45·75 74·00 48·75 58·75 5·25a 4·50a 66·50	1·216 0·728a 1·039a 2·556 1·583 2·243 0·540a 0·325a 1·975	15·45 4·34 9·93 358·75 37·32 174·04 2·46 1·11 93·37	42-50 7-00a 32-00a 125-25 69-75 83-50 14-50a 1-75a 105-25	
Necessary differences for significance $\begin{cases} 5\% \\ 1\% \end{cases}$	0·583 0·790		11·49 15·57	0·573 0·695		28·21 38·23	0·717 0·971		35·58 48·21	

^{*} Log_{10} (1+x) transformation.

^{**} Anti-transformed values.

a—denotes equality with the lowest treatment mean at the 5% level.

TABLE 9

TRIAL IV—The Effect of Insecticide Treatments Against C. ficus on Joppa Oranges at Palmwoods 1971–72

	At Pre-treatmen	t (30 Nov 71)		At Post-treatme		At Post-treatment (6 May 72			
Treatment 1 Dec 71 and 15 Feb 72	per 60 Frui No. of Adu	t Sample	per 40 Frui No. of Adu	t Sample ilt Scales	per 40 Leat No. of Adu	f Sample alt Scales	Trans. Means* 2.145 2.349 2.501 2.075 1.481 0.233a 0.159a	it Sample lult Scales	
	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**	Trans. Means*	Equiv. Means**		Equiv. Means**	
summer superior oil 1·25% summer superior oil 1·7% volck 70 oil 1·25% volck 70 oil 1 % volck supreme oil 1·25% omethoate 0·05% methidathion 0·05% parathion 0·05% no treatment	2·161 2·135 2·344 2·055 1·965 1·718a 1·251a 1·873a 1·639a	143-82 135-52 219-62 112-53 91-20 51-18 16-83 73-58 42-57	1.791 1.651 1.528 1.188 0.968 0.201a 0.000a 0.282a 1.968	60·86 43·77 32·71 14·40 8·28 0·59 0·00 0·91 91·86	1-006 1-122 0-918a 1-134 0-000a 0-159a 0-100a 0-201a 1-740	9·14 12·25 7·28 12·61 0·00 0·44 0·26 0·59 53·93	2·349 2·501 2·075 1·481 0·233a	138-62 222-45 315-96 117-97 29-28 0-71 0-44 31-30 429-89	
Necessary differences $\begin{cases} 5\% & \dots \\ 1\% & \dots \end{cases}$	0·703 0·969		0·809 1·114		0·988 1·361	ALCO AND	0-863 1-190		

^{*} Log₁₀ (1+x) transformation.

^{**} Anti-transformed values.

a—denotes equality with the lowest treatment mean at the 5% level.

The most effective oil treatments were 2.5% and 1% white oil (in trial I), 1.25% white oil (in trial VI), 1% and 1.25% 'Volck 70' oil (in trial V) and 1.25% 'Volck Supreme' oil (in trials IV and V). White oil at 1.7%, 'Volck 70' oil at 1.25%, Rd/33/4 at 1.25% and Rd/33/5 at 1.25% each applied three times gave effective control in trial VII. No phytotoxic effects were observed in trials I to VI. However, all four treatments in which oil was used alone in trial VII caused noticeable leaf drop, reduction in fruit size and colour retardation. The temperature was 32°C when the second of the three sprays was applied and the following week it rose to 43°C .

Low strength oil treatments (1% to $1\cdot25\%$) were less consistent than methidathion or omethoate, particularly in trials III and V where high infestation pressure occurred. They may be of value, however, in an integrated control programme where it is necessary to restrict the use of organic insecticides. Differences between similar strength oil formulations were not significant, although white oil appeared to be slightly more effective than 'Summer Superior' oil in trials V and VI.

Control of C. ficus. Results of trials I and IV on C. ficus are given in tables 8 and 9. Methidathion and omethoate 0.075% (in trial I) or 0.05% (in trial IV) and 2.5% white oil (in trial I) gave effective control. White oil at 1% was significantly more effective than carbaryl, promecarb and azinphos methyl in trial I, but other oil treatments except 1.25% 'Volck Supreme' oil (in trial IV) were unsatisfactory. Carbaryl and to a lesser extent azinphos methyl caused a scale build up in trial I, probably due to a combination of low efficacy against the scale and high toxicity to natural enemies.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Biometry Branch of the Department, in particular Miss E. Goward, made the statistical analyses and this work is gratefully acknowledged. Orchardists H. F. D. Bell, K. G. Spackman, N. W. Wallis, J. G. Benham, R. J. Darrow, L. G. Henderson and R. P. Rowland provided trees for the trials.

REFERENCES

Manefield, T. (1957).—The control of Common Pests in Citrus in Inland Irrigated Orchards of Southern Queensland. *Qd agric. J.* 83:125-31.

SMITH, W. A. (1964).—Red Scale Control Investigations on Citrus in Queensland, 1951–1958. Qd J. agric. Anim. Sci. 21:275-293.

(Received for publication 20 February 1976)

The author is an entomologist in the Queensland Department of Primary Industries and is stationed at Nambour.