### ONCOPERA BRACHYPHYLLA AND O. MITOCERA CONTROL

## QUEENSLAND DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRIES DIVISION OF PLANT INDUSTRY BULLETIN No. 703

# ONCOPERA BRACHYPHYLLA TURNER AND ONCO-PERA MITOCERA (TURNER) INSECTICIDE CONTROL TRIALS

### 1964-1966

By R. J. ELDER, Q.D.A., M.Agr.Sc.

### SUMMARY

**Results are reported for 10 insecticide formulations used in screening trials against** two major pests of pasture on the Tablelands of north Queensland namely Oncopera brachyphylla Turner and Oncopera mitocera (Turner) (Lepidoptera; Hepialidae). Efficacious materials were parathion-ethyl, chlorfenvinphos, trichlorphlon, diazinon, and DDT.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The flat-headed pasture webworm (*Oncopera mitocera* (Turner)) and the round-headed pasture webworm (*Oncopera brachyphylla* Turner) have been recorded as pests of pasture on the northern tablelands of Queensland for at least 50 years (Elder 1965). The two species have an annual life cycle with early instar caterpillars first appearing in the field in February-March. These grow throughout the year and, by the beginning of the dry season (August), the larvae have reached a stage where they may cause serious damage.

The damage caused by *O. brachyphylla* increases seasonally until November-December, and that caused by *O. mitocera* until February (Quinlan, Elder and Shaw 1972; Elder 1971).

Quinlan, Elder and Shaw (1972) indicated that, where feed on dairy farms was limiting and hay costs 34/tonne, control of webworm populations of  $16/m^2$  and above was economically sound provided spray applications were made in early September. The initial insecticide studies upon which that investigation was based are discussed in the present paper.

Five trials were undertaken during 1964 to 1966 to evaluate insecticides for the control of *O. brachyphylla* and *O. mitocera* larvae.

"Queensland Journal of Agricultural and Animal Sciences", Vol. 31 (3), 1974

### R. J. ELDER

### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The insecticides used are listed in Table 1, together with the type of formulation, percentage of active constituents in the concentrates and the dosage per hectare.

All insecticides were applied as high volume sprays except for one treatment in trial 1 where DDT was broadcast by hand in a bran-molasses bait and for one treatment in trial 2 where DDT was broadcast in fertilizer (15% N): 9% P:0% K) at 358 kg/ha of mixture. For all other treatments in trial 2, the same fertilizer mixture was supplied at 358 kg/ha. The DDT-bran-molasses bait consisted of a mixture of 0.45 kg active constituent DDT, 11.4 kg bran and 1.81 of molasses. Spray treatments were applied using a power driven piston pump operating at a pressure of 689 kPa with a single nozzled, hand-held lance attachment.

Insecticides		Formulation	Active Constituent (%)	Application rate Active Constituent kg/ha	Trial No.
BHC gamma isomer		fiscible concentrate	16 w/v	1.12	1
Carbaryl	.   <u>m</u>	vettable concentrate	80 W/W	1.12	2
Chlorienvinphos .	.   E	mulsifiable concentrate	24 W/V	0.56	4
DDT	.   <u>N</u>	liscible concentrate	25 w/v	0.84	2,3
DDT	E	mulsifiable concentrate	25 w/v	1.12	1, 4
DDT	.   M	liscible concentrate	25 w/v	1.12	2, 3
DDT	.   D	ispersible powder, bran, molasses bait	50 w/w	1.12	1
DDT	.   D	ispersible powder in fertilizer	10 w/w	1.12	2
Diazinon	.   E:	mulsifiable concentrate	20 w/v	0.42	5
Dieldrin	.   Ei	mulsifiable concentrate	15 w/v	1.12	1
Dicrotophos .	.   M	fiscible concentrate	80 w/v	0.56	1
Heptachlor	.   M	Iscible concentrate	40 w/v	1.12	1
Parathion-ethyl .	.   Ei	mulsifiable concentrate	50 w/v	0.56	4
Parathion-ethyl	$ E_1 $	mulsifiable concentrate	50 w/v	0.84	3
Parathion-ethyl .	E	mulsifiable concentrate	50 w/v	1.12	2.3
Trichlorphon .	Sc	oluble concentrate	80 w/w	0.14	5
Trichlorphon	Sc	oluble concentrate	80 w/w	0.28	5
Trichlorphon .	S	oluble concentrate	80 w/w	0.42	5
Trichlorphon .	Sc	oluble concentrate	80 w/w	0.56	4.5
Trichlorphon .	Sc	oluble concentrate	80 w/w	0.84	3
Trichlorphon .	Sc	oluble concentrate	80 w/w	1.12	2, 3

# TABLE 1 INSECTICIDES USED IN THE TRIALS

Treatment effects against late instar larvae of *Oncopera* spp. were assessed using a larval sampling method based on that of Miller and Martyn (1952). The technique involved the closure of the entrances of the larval burrows (Elder 1971) by removal of all vegetation at ground level with a square-nosed spade. A 30 cm x 30 cm painted square of consolidated hardboard ('Masonite') was then placed over the cleared area. The square was left in position for two nights, after which it was lifted and the number of re-opened entrances counted. There were 20 squares per plot.

Samples of *Oncopera* larvae present were obtained from each trial by digging 50 larvae (10 from each of five locations) from the soil. Identifications using the characters outlined by Elder (1970) were made and the percentage of each species of *Oncopera* were recorded.

Pre-treatment counts were made on the day of insecticide applications; post-treatment counts were made at 1 and 6 weeks following applications in all trials and at 52 weeks in trials 1, 2, 3 and 4.

### **III. RESULTS**

Details relative to all trials are given in Figure 1.

### **IV. DISCUSSION**

Larvae of *O. mitocera* and *O. brachyphylla* were shown to be susceptible to the same insecticides.

Parathion-ethyl, chlorfenvinphos, trichlorphon at 0.56 kg, diazinon at 0.42 kg and DDT at 1.12 kg active constituent per ha were most efficacious in reducing *Oncopera* spp. larval populations. The persistence of DDT was demonstrated by the suppression of the generation in the year after treatment. Dieldrin, heptachlor, BHC, dicrotophos and carbaryl gave poor results and do not therefore warrant further testing.

Results for trichlorphon were somewhat variable (Figure 1, trials 4 and 5). Poor results obtained in trial 5 may have been due to a reduced spray penetration to ground level as a result of the relatively high dense grass cover (Table 2). The larvae feed only at ground level (Elder 1971).

Trial No.	Location	Oncopera spp. Present	Date Insecticide Applied	Type of Pasture	
1	Maalan	50% O. brachyphylla 50% O. mitocera	27 Aug 64	Short sparse grasses and weed —run down pasture	
2	Millaa Millaa	100% O. brachyphylla	9 Sep 65	High (21 cm) dense Pennisetum clandestinum	
3	Peeramon	100% O. mitocera	7 Oct 65	Low (1–2 cm) sparse Axonopus affinis—run down pasture	
4	Butcher's Creek	100% O. mitocera	24 Oct 65	As for 3	
5	Jaggan	100% O. mitocera	8 Oct 66	Clumps of Panicum maximum to 30 cm in height inter- spersed with sparse Melinis minutiflora	

TABLE 2

Oncopera spp., PASTURE TYPE AND DATE OF INSECTICIDE APPLICATION FOR THE TRIALS

Chlorfenvinphos and diazinon have proved equally useful under commercial conditions. Trichlorphon has given apparent variable control and its use has declined.

Further work is required on application methods alternative to high volume spraying as many infestations are in rugged country where the use of high volume equipment is not possible. Granular insecticide use or misting techniques might be investigated. Granules may also overcome the difficulties involved in getting insecticide to the restricted feeding area of the larvae (Elder 1971) where pastures are high (up to 2 m) and dense. Under present application methods mowing or grazing has to be undertaken prior to spray application under these conditions.

R. J. ELDER

TREATMENT	TRIAL . 1	TRIAL 2 MILLAA	TRIAL 3	TRIAL 4 BUTCHER'S	TRIAL 5
a.c. kg/ha	Pr <b>1 6 52</b>	MILLAA 1965-6 Pr <b>1 6 52</b>	PEERAMON 1965-6	Pr 1 6 52	JAGGAN 1966 Pr <b>1 6</b>
внс <b>1.12</b>	1.989 1.991 1.636 4.51				
Carbaryl <b>1·12</b>		2-207 2-087 2-142			
Chlorfenvinphos 0.56				148-2 0-8 0-3 1-320	
DDT <b>0.84</b>		2·384 2·155 1·971	187-7 132-2 109-5		
DDT <b>1.12</b>	1.043 1.361 0.943 1.59	2:318 2:075 1:794	143.5 102.2 83.5	109·5 73·2 61·3 0·195	
DDT <b>1.12</b> + bait	1·806 1·317 0·883 1·39	halysis	malysis		
DDT 1.12		or A	or A		
+ fertilizer		2·314 2·183 1·967	Too Low F		
Diazinon <b>0.42</b>		Numbers	Numbers		97.5 51.5 31.25
Dicrotophos 0 · 56	1.869 1.857 1.495 3.74				
Dieldrin 1·12	1·678 1·664 1·149 5·11				
Heptachlor 1.12	1·863 1·885 1·852 6·12				
Parathion ethyl <b>9·56</b>				89·3 1·3 0·5 1·619	
Parathion ethyl <b>0·64</b>			171.7 2.5 0.5		

Figure 1. Mean numbers of active larvae per unit sample. There were 20 squares covering a total of 1.8 m<sup>2</sup> per unit sample. Numbers are the transformed means or original means as used in the analysis.

#### TRIAL 1 TRIAL 2 TRIAL 3 TRIAL 4 TRIAL 5 TREATMENT BUTCHER'S MILLAA MAALAN 1964-5 <sup>D</sup>Pr **°1 °6 °52** MILLAA 1965 - 6 PEERAMON 1965-6 CREEK 1965 - 6 JAGGAN 1966 a.c. kg/ha Pr Pr 6 6 Pr 6 52 Pr 1 52 1 6 52 1 Parathion ethyl 1.12 179.7 1.2 0.2 2.370 1.476 0.690 Trichlorphon 0.14 77.75 88.25 55.0 Trichlorphon 0.28 85.50 68.25 52.0 Trichlorphon 0.42 98.0 52.97 49.0 Trichlorphon 0.56 109.5 7.5 13.0 1.227 75.0 42.50 37.50 Trichlorphon 0.84 197.5 2.0 2.2 Trichlorphon 1.12 SU 2-390 1-845 1-551 147.7 5.7 7.8 No Treatment 5 10000 133.0 120.5 109.5 1.004 98.25 90.25 63.25 1.958 1.961 1.630 4.70 2.257 2.166 2.153 129.2 120.5 116.7 NDS \* 0.397 NS 27.50 14.33 NS 0.394 0.457 2.45 NS 0.182 0.398 ۰5 NS 4 ∨ 4 g not NS not not Analysis Analysis Analysis NS 38.02 19.81 C O O O Analysis required Analysis required •1 NS 0.540 0.627 3.36 NS 0.249 0.545 NS NS Transformation<sup>+</sup> Х X X.SR L LL 0 0

### ONCOPERA BRACHYPHYLLA AND O. MITOCERA CONTROL

\* Necessary difference for significance

NS=No significant difference

SR =  $\sqrt{X + \frac{1}{2}}$ ; + X = logX;  $L = \log(1 + X);$ 

O - Analysis undertaken on original units

D , Pr - Numbers at pretreatment

• 1, 6, 52 - Numbers at 1, 6 and 52 weeks post treatment respectively

### R. J. ELDER

Time of application (Table 2) did not affect spray efficiency for the five trials. Larval feeding area which is related to larval length is smaller, earlier in the year (Elder 1971).

Insecticide applications in July and early August should be investigated to determine whether the smaller feeding area of larvae makes spray coverage more critical.

### REFERENCES

ELDER, R. J. (1965).—Webworms damage northern tablelands pasture. Qd agric. J. 91:566-8.

ELDER, R. J. (1970).—Larval taxonomy of Oncopera brachyphylla Turner and its distinction from Oncopera mitocera (Turner). Qd J. agric. Anim. Sci. 27:123-8.

ELDER, R. J. (1971).—A study of the distribution, morphology and biology of Oncopera mitocera (Turner) and Oncopera brachyphylla Turner (Lepidoptera:Hepialidae). M. Agr. Sci. thesis, University of Queensland.

MILLER, L. W. and MARTYN, E. J. (1952).—A sampling technique for underground grass grubs. J. Aust. Inst. agric. Sci. 18:110-111

QUINLAN, T. J., ELDER, R. J. and SHAW, K. A. (1972).—Webworm control in northern dairy pastures. Qd agric. J. 98:176-7.

### (Received for publication 4 November 1974)

The author is an officer of the Entomology Branch, Queensland Department of Primary Industries, and is stationed at Rockhampton.