Table 12 Callistemon varieties

	'UnicalOne'	*'Little John	'Captain Cook'
PLANT: DENS	SITY		
	dense	dense	sparse
PLANT: HEIG	 HT		
I LI II VI. IILIO	small	small	medium
DI ANT DDAN	ICHING HADI	т	
PLANT: BRAN	NCHING HABI' strong	strong	medium
		strong	
	JR (RHS, 1995)		
young leaf	yellow-green RHS144A	yellow-green RHS 143A	yellow-green RHS 143A
mature leaf	KIIS144A	KIIS 143A	KIIS 145A
(upper side)	green	greyed-green	green
,	RHS 137A	RHS 189A	RHS 137A
mature leaf			
(lower side)	green	greyed-green	
	RHS 137B	RHS 189A	RHS 137B
LEAF: SHAPE	,		
	lanceolate	oblanceolate	lanceolate
I DAD I DVC~	TI ()		
LEAF: LENGT	'H (mm) 32.4	41.4	49.5
mean std deviation	3.47	4.62	6.50
LSD/sig	5.55	P≤0.01	P≤0.01
LEAF: WIDTH			
mean	5.9	8.3	5.7
std deviation LSD/sig	0.56 0.64	0.67 P≤0.01	0.48 ns
L3D/sig	0.04	1 20.01	115
LEAF: LENGT	H/WIDTH RAT	ГІО	
mean	5.50	4.98	8.66
std deviation	0.44	0.24	0.65
LSD/sig	0.52	P≤0.01	P≤0.01
FLOWER: STA	MEN AND ST	IGMA COLOU	JR
	red	red	red
	RHS 46B	RHS 46A	RHS 46C
DETAL DICTI	NCTIVENESS	OE MADGIN	
LEIAL, DISTI	distinct	indistinct	indistinct
PETAL: COLO	UR OF MARG	IN (in apical re	egion)
	red	red	red
	RHS 48B	RHS 49C-D	RHS 49D
PETAL: COLO	UR OF MIDZO	DNE	
	yellow-green	yellow-green	
		RHS 145A-B	
PETAL: TRAN	SDADENCV		
I E IAL: IKAN	transparent	non-	transparent
	aunsparent	transparent	aunsparent
		r	
CALYX LOBE	: RED COLOU		
	strong	weak	strong
BUD: DISTING	CTIVENESS OI	F RED COLOI	 J R
(before bud bur		. ILD COLOC	
	distinct	indistinct	distinct

BUD: COLOUR (prior to reflexing of petals)
red green red

RHS 63B RHS 138B RHS 63C

SEED CAPSULE: COLOUR (IMMATURE)
green green green
RHS 143B-C RHS 143A RHS 143B-C

Citrus reticulata X Citrus sinensis Mandarin

'IrM1'

Application No: 1998/243 Accepted: 2 Dec 1998. Applicant: **The State of Queensland through its Department of Primary Industries**, Brisbane, QLD.

Characteristics (Table 13, Figure 33) Plant: main branch attitude spreading, young shoot anthocyanin absent. Leaf: petiole development of wings absent or rudimentary. Flower: terminal bud anthocyanin absent, viable pollen present, flowering habit flowering once. Fruit: size medium (mean diameter 70.4mm at equator), shape oblate, shape at basal end moderately depressed, shape of distal end truncate, colour of surface yellow to orange RHS N25C (mean 0.27*), relief of surface smooth, areola absent, presence of navel absent or very rare, conspicuousness of navel not visible, thickness of rind thin (mean 3.6mm), adherence of rind to flesh medium, main colour of flesh orange RHS 26A (mean 0.12*), colour of juice yellow to orange, acid content of juice medium (mean 1.10% citric equivalent), total soluble solids of juice high (mean 13.05°Brix), polyembryonic seeds present, time of maturity late, Brix to acid ratio: high (mean 12.4), number of flat seeds mean 0.6 per fruit, number of plump seeds mean 6.3 per fruit, weight mean 152g per fruit. (Note: All RHS colour chart numbers refer to 2001 edition. *a/b value from the L, a, b colour space measured with a Minolta Chromameter CR-200, average of 3 readings per fruit and 35 fruit per variety.)

Origin and Breeding Induced mutation: of 'Murcott' budwood. Gamma irradiation from a 60Co (Cobalt 60) source was applied at different doses to 150mm bud sticks on 16/9/1991. Five hundred treated buds were budded onto Troyer citrange rootstock. One hundred and thirty six buds survived treatment and developed into trees, which were field planted at Bundaberg Research Station on the 27/8/1992. As trees commenced fruiting the fruit were cut and inspected for seed numbers from different limbs on each tree. This procedure was carried out in 1995, 96, 97 and 98. 'IrM1' was identified as showing consistently lower seed number than the parent variety with no apparent reduction in fruit size and good fruit quality in all four seasons. Budwood was taken from the original 'IrM1' tree and budded to Troyer citrange rootstock to establish daughter trees at two field sites in Oct 1998. A further generation of trees was established by taking budwood from these daughter trees and establishing grand-daughter trees (again budded to Troyer citrange rootstock), which were planted in Sep 2000. All trees of all three generations of 'IrM1' have consistently shown reduced seed numbers in each season. Selection criteria: consistent low number of seeds. Propagation: vegetatively through budwood. Breeder: Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Bundaberg, QLD.

Choice of Comparators The grouping characteristics used in identifying the most similar varieties of common knowledge were – Fruit: shape oblate, colour of surface yellow to orange, relief of surface smooth, presence of navel absent or very rare, total soluble solids of juice high. Seed: percentage of polyembryonic seeds high. Time of maturity of fruit: late. On the basis of these characteristics, the parental variety 'Murcott' was chosen as the most similar variety of common knowledge in existence at the time of lodgement of this application. Two additional selections from the same mutation breeding program, 'IrM2' (PBR Application No: 2001/176) and 'M22' were also included in the comparative trial to establish differences between mutations derived from 'Murcott'.

Comparative Trial Location: Mundubbera, QLD (Latitude 25°37' South, 151°15' East, elevation 166m), planted Oct 1998, DUS data collected Aug 2001 and 2002. Conditions: trial conducted in a commercial mandarin orchard with standard management practices, all trees budded to Troyer citrange rootstock, and tree spacing of 2.75 x 7 m. Trial design: planted in a single row with the 4 varieties arranged in a randomised complete block design with 7 replicates. Measurements: five organs (leaf/fruit/seed) randomly selected from each tree and assessed individually, such that all variables have a mean derived from 35 individual measurements.

Prior Applications and Sales

No prior applications. First budwood sold in Australia in Dec 2002.

Description: Malcolm W. Smith, Department of Primary Industries Queensland, Bundaberg, QLD.

Table 13 Citrus varieties

	'IrM1'	*'IrM2'	*'M22'	*'Murcott'
FRUIT: COLO	OUR OF SU	RFACE (R	HS, 2001)	
	N25C	N25A	N25B	N25B
	yellow to	orange	yellow to	yellow to
	orange		orange	orange
FRUIT: COLO				
(a/b from L, a				0.04
mean	0.27^{a}	0.35^{6}	0.31ab	0.28^{a}
std deviation	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03
FRUIT: COLO		RFACE 'L'	'VALUE*	
LSD (P≤0.01)		67.8 ^b	60 2ab	60 2ah
mean	69.8ª		69.2ab	69.2ab
std deviation	1.5	0.7	0.9	1.0
FRUIT: COLO		RFACE 'a'	VALUE*	
LSD (P≤0.01)				
mean	19.0ª	23.6°	21.7^{bc}	19.7ab
std deviation	2.2	0.9	1.5	2.1
FRUIT: COLO	OUR OF SU	RFACE 'b'	'VALUE*	
LSD (P≤0.01)				
mean	70.0^{a}	67.8 ^b	70.0^{a}	70.0^{a}
std deviation	0.6	1.1	1.1	1.3
FRUIT: THIC	KNESS OF	RIND (mn	n) LSD (P≤0	0.01) = 0.5
		4 41	2.22	~ 1b
mean	3.6^{a}	4.4 ^b	3.3ª	5.1 ^b
	3.6ª 0.3	4.4 ⁶ 0.4	0.2	0.3

	I COL OUR	OE EI ECL	I (DHS 200)1)
FRUIT: MAIN	N COLOUR			
ricerr in in	26A	N25A-B	26A	26A
	orange	orange	orange	orange
FRUIT: MAIN				
(a/b from L, a				
mean	0.12 ^a	0.16 ^b	0.12a	0.12a
std deviation	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02
FRUIT: MAIN	N COLOUR	OF FLESH	ı 'L' VALU	====== E*
LSD (P≤0.01)	= 1.3			
nean	46.9^{a}	47.9^{a}	45.5 ^b	47.5a
td deviation	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8
RUIT: MAIN	N COLOUR	OF FLESH	······································]*
SD (P≤0.01)				
nean	4.0^{a}	5.9 ^b	4.1a	4.4^{a}
td deviation	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.8
RUIT: MAIN	N COLOUR	OF FLESH	······································	 E*
SD (P≤0.01)		or repor		
nean	34.5^{ab}	36.1 ^b	33.4^{a}	35.1^{ab}
d deviation	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.1
RUIT: ACID	CONTENT	L OE II II CE	(% citric a	cid equive
		. OF JUICE	a (10 ciuic a	cia cquiva
SD (P≤0.01)	- 0.20			
,	1.10 ^{ab}	0.92^{a}	1.15 ^b	0.90^{a}
ean		0.92ª 0.11	1.15 ^b 0.09	0.90^{a} 0.08
iean	1.10^{ab}		0.09	0.08
nean deviation	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium	0.11 medium	0.09 medium	0.08 medium
nean td deviation RUIT: PERC	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium	0.11 medium OF POLYEM	0.09 medium	0.08 medium
nean td deviation RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium	0.11 medium OF POLYEM	0.09 medium	0.08 medium
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3	0.11 medium OF POLYEM	0.09 medium MBRYONIO	0.08 medium
nean d deviation RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a	0.11 medium OF POLYEM	0.09 medium MBRYONIO	0.08 medium C SEED
RUIT: PERC (%) LSD (P<0) nean id deviation	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97 ^a 8	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0
RUIT: PERC b) LSD (P≤0 c) Lean d deviation	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97 ^a 8	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0
RUIT: PERC BY LSD (PSC Contact and deviation RUIT: TIME	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high C OF MATU	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97 ^a 8 high	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 nean td deviation RUIT: TIME	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high C OF MATU late	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high ORITY medium (ratio) LSD	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97ª 8 high late (P≤0.01) =	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 nean d deviation RUIT: TIME	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high COF MATU late TO ACID 12.4 ^a	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high VRITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8°	0.09 medium MBRYONIC 97 a 8 high late $(P \le 0.01) = 12.6^{a}$	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3°
ean d deviation RUIT: PERC b) LSD (P≤0 ean d deviation RUIT: TIME	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high C OF MATU late	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high ORITY medium (ratio) LSD	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97ª 8 high late (P≤0.01) =	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 nean d deviation RUIT: TIME RUIT: BRIX nean d deviation	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high COF MATU late TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high VRITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97 ^a 8 high late (P≤0.01) = 12.6 ^a 1.2	0.08 medium C SEED 100a 0 high late 2.0 14.3ab
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 nean td deviation RUIT: TIME RUIT: BRIX nean td deviation RUIT: NUM SD (P≤0.01)	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high C OF MATU late T TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97 a 8 high late (P≤0.01) = 12.6 a 1.2	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 nean td deviation RUIT: TIME RUIT: BRIX nean td deviation RUIT: NUM SD (P≤0.01) nean	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium EENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high C OF MATU late T TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1 0.6 ^a	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9 LAT SEEDS 0.7°	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97° 8 high late $(P \le 0.01) = 12.6°$ 1.2 6 (per fruit) 0.4°	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 nean td deviation RUIT: TIME RUIT: BRIX nean td deviation RUIT: NUM SD (P≤0.01) nean	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high C OF MATU late T TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97 a 8 high late (P≤0.01) = 12.6 a 1.2	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 nean rd deviation RUIT: TIME RUIT: BRIX nean rd deviation RUIT: NUM SD (P≤0.01) nean rd deviation	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium EENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high COF MATU late TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1 0.6 ^a 0.5	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9 LAT SEEDS 0.7° 0.6	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97° 8 high late $(P \le 0.01) = 12.6°$ 1.2 6 (per fruit) 0.4° 0.2	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3
RUIT: PERCE White the second s	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium EENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high COF MATU late TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1 0.6 ^a 0.5	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9 LAT SEEDS 0.7° 0.6	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97° 8 high late $(P \le 0.01) = 12.6°$ 1.2 6 (per fruit) 0.4° 0.2	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3
RUIT: PERCE (%) LSD (P≤0 nean to deviation to RUIT: NUM (SD (P≤0.01))	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium EENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high COF MATU late TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1 0.6 ^a 0.5	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9 LAT SEEDS 0.7° 0.6	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97° 8 high late $(P \le 0.01) = 12.6°$ 1.2 6 (per fruit) 0.4° 0.2	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3
RUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 hean d deviation RUIT: TIME RUIT: BRIX hean d deviation RUIT: NUM SD (P≤0.01) hean d deviation RUIT: NUM SD (P≤0.01) hean	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium EENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high COF MATU late TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1 0.6 ^a 0.5	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9 LAT SEEDS 0.7° 0.6 LUMP SEED	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97° 8 high late (P≤0.01) = 12.6° 1.2 5 (per fruit) 0.4° 0.2 DS (per fruit)	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3
RUIT: PERCE (%) LSD (P≤0 nean td deviation (RUIT: NUM SD (P≤0.01) nean td deviation td deviation td deviation td deviation td deviation	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high COF MATU late TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1 0.6 ^a 0.5 BER OF PI = 1.9 6.3 ^b 1.2	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9 LAT SEEDS 0.7° 0.6 LUMP SEED 6.6° 1.5	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97° 8 high late (P≤0.01) = 12.6° 1.2 5 (per fruit) 0.4° 0.2 DS (per fruit) 2.5° 0.5	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3 2.3° 1.1 t) 21.9° 1.4
SD (P≤0.01) nean td deviation FRUIT: PERC %) LSD (P≤0 nean td deviation FRUIT: TIME FRUIT: BRIX nean td deviation FRUIT: NUM LSD (P≤0.01) nean td deviation FRUIT: NUM LSD (P≤0.01) nean td deviation FRUIT: WEIC nean	1.10 ^{ab} 0.22 medium CENTAGE (0.01) = 12.3 100 ^a 0 high COF MATU late TO ACID 12.4 ^a 2.1 BER OF FI = 1.1 0.6 ^a 0.5 BER OF PI = 1.9 6.3 ^b 1.2	0.11 medium OF POLYEM 90° 17 high URITY medium (ratio) LSD 14.8° 0.9 LAT SEEDS 0.7° 0.6 LUMP SEED 6.6° 1.5	0.09 medium MBRYONIO 97° 8 high late (P≤0.01) = 12.6° 1.2 5 (per fruit) 0.4° 0.2 DS (per fruit) 2.5° 0.5	0.08 medium C SEED 100° 0 high late 2.0 14.3° 1.3 2.3° 1.1 t) 21.9° 1.4

FRUIT: COLOUR OF ALBEDO

white

pinkish

white

white

higher values representing increased red colouration.

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P≤0.01, Duncan's Multiple Range Test.